



## Temporal decrease in upper atmospheric chlorine

L. Froidevaux,<sup>1</sup> N. J. Livesey,<sup>1</sup> W. G. Read,<sup>1</sup> R. J. Salawitch,<sup>1</sup> J. W. Waters,<sup>1</sup> B. Drouin,<sup>1</sup> I. A. MacKenzie,<sup>2</sup> H. C. Pumphrey,<sup>2</sup> P. Bernath,<sup>3,4</sup> C. Boone,<sup>3</sup> R. Nassar,<sup>3,5</sup> S. Montzka,<sup>6</sup> J. Elkins,<sup>6</sup> D. Cunnold,<sup>7</sup> and D. Waugh<sup>8</sup>

Received 17 July 2006; revised 3 October 2006; accepted 20 October 2006; published 14 December 2006.

[1] We report a steady decrease in the upper stratospheric and lower mesospheric abundances of hydrogen chloride (HCl) from August 2004 through January 2006, as measured by the Microwave Limb Sounder (MLS) aboard the Aura satellite. For 60°S to 60°N zonal means, the average yearly change in the 0.7 to 0.1 hPa (~50 to 65 km) region is  $-27 \pm 3$  pptv/year, or  $-0.78 \pm 0.08$  percent/year. This is consistent with surface abundance decrease rates (about 6 to 7 years earlier) in chlorine source gases. The MLS data confirm that international agreements to reduce global emissions of ozone-depleting industrial gases are leading to global decreases in the total gaseous chlorine burden. Tracking stratospheric HCl variations on a seasonal basis is now possible with MLS data. Inferred stratospheric total chlorine ( $Cl_{TOT}$ ) has a value of 3.60 ppbv at the beginning of 2006, with a (2-sigma) accuracy estimate of 7%; the stratospheric chlorine loading has decreased by about 43 pptv in the 18-month period studied here. We discuss the MLS HCl measurements in the context of other satellite-based HCl data, as well as expectations from surface chlorine data. A mean age of air of  $\sim 5.5$  years and an age spectrum width of 2 years or less provide a fairly good fit to the ensemble of measurements. **Citation:** Froidevaux, L., et al. (2006), Temporal decrease in upper atmospheric chlorine, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 33, L23812, doi:10.1029/2006GL027600.

### 1. Introduction

[2] The abundances of chlorine and bromine gases in the Earth's stratosphere have garnered much attention in ozone depletion assessments [e.g., *World Meteorological Organization (WMO)*, 2003], because of the consensus regarding the importance of industrially-produced source gases such as chlorofluorocarbons (CFCs) and halons. Measurements from surface networks, as well as from

aircraft, have produced a record of chlorine gases and their total abundance ( $Cl_{TOT}$ ) in the troposphere for more than two decades. Also, ground-based column measurements of (largely stratospheric) hydrogen chloride (HCl) and chlorine nitrate ( $ClONO_2$ ), as well as satellite-based near-global observations of HCl at high altitude (near 55 km), have documented the long-term increase in total stratospheric chlorine up until the late 1990s, with indications of a turn-around in recent years [Anderson *et al.*, 2000; WMO, 2003; Mahieu *et al.*, 2004]. The vast majority of chlorine in the upper stratosphere and lower mesosphere is present as HCl, as shown by early observations from the Atmospheric Trace Molecule Spectroscopy (ATMOS) experiment [Zander *et al.*, 1996], and recently corroborated by data from the Atmospheric Chemistry Experiment Fourier Transform Spectrometer (ACE-FTS, often simply referred to as ACE, below) aboard the Canadian satellite SCISAT-1 [Bernath *et al.*, 2005].

[3] Here, we report and analyze recent decreases in upper atmospheric HCl, observed by the Earth Observing System (EOS) Microwave Limb Sounder (MLS) aboard the Aura satellite, launched July 15, 2004. These results are discussed in the context of other HCl measurements, including those from ACE and the 14-year record from the Halogen Occultation Experiment (HALOE) [Russell *et al.*, 1993] on the Upper Atmosphere Research Satellite (UARS). We discuss the implications of the various results in terms of stratospheric total chlorine and decreases expected from tropospheric chlorine data.

### 2. Variations in Tropospheric Chlorine and Upper Atmospheric MLS HCl Data

[4] Figure 1 shows the tropospheric chlorine abundance based on surface measurements from the Advanced Global Atmospheric Gases Experiment (AGAGE) network [e.g., O'Doherty *et al.*, 2004], and from the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) network [Montzka *et al.*, 1999]. The latitudinal coverage from these near-global networks spans the tropics, mid- and high latitudes (from 41°S to 53°N for AGAGE and from the South Pole to 82°N for NOAA). We have averaged these two datasets to produce a mean value for total chlorine in the troposphere. Differences in total tropospheric chlorine between the two networks are less than 30 ppt (1%) over the time period of overlap, although independent measures of  $\sim 20\%$  of the total ( $CH_3Cl$ , CFC-114, and CFC-115) are not currently available. Note that parts per trillion or per billion are typically used here for the units of ground-based source gas measurements since those refer to a mole fraction and not a volume mixing ratio; these units are compatible, however, with the units of pptv or ppbv that we use (here)

<sup>1</sup>Jet Propulsion Laboratory, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, California, USA.

<sup>2</sup>School of GeoSciences, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, UK.

<sup>3</sup>Department of Chemistry, University of Waterloo, Waterloo, Ontario, Canada.

<sup>4</sup>Now at Department of Chemistry, University of York, York, UK.

<sup>5</sup>Now at Department of Earth and Planetary Sciences and Division of Engineering and Applied Sciences, Harvard University, Cambridge, Massachusetts, USA.


<sup>6</sup>Office of Oceanic and Atmospheric Research, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, Boulder, Colorado, USA.

<sup>7</sup>School of Earth and Atmospheric Sciences, Georgia Institute of Technology, Atlanta, Georgia, USA.


<sup>8</sup>Department of Earth and Planetary Sciences, Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, Maryland, USA.

for mixing ratios from satellite retrievals. This chlorine loading peaked in late 1993 to early 1994 at close to 3.7 ppb (the peak combined value from Figure 1 is 3.692 ppb). Peak tropospheric  $Cl_{TOT}$  should represent an upper limit for stratospheric  $Cl_{TOT}$ . The peak in the stratosphere is expected a number of years later, and mixing will tend to reduce the peak by an amount that is sensitive to the age of air spectrum. The total chlorine abundances in Figure 1 include 100 ppt from the chlorinated very short-lived (VSL) gases  $CH_2Cl_2$ ,  $CHCl_3$ ,  $C_2Cl_4$ , and  $COCl_2$ . The latter compound (phosgene) accounts for about half of the 100 ppt potential chlorine from VSL gases. It is unclear how much this compound contributes to stratospheric  $Cl_{TOT}$  [Kindler *et al.*, 1995], although balloon-borne measurements [Toon *et al.*, 2001] indicate  $\sim 25$  pptv in the lower stratosphere, with near zero values in the upper stratosphere, suggesting the full 50 ppt contribution to  $Cl_{TOT}$  may be occurring. The uncertainty in the 100 ppt from VSL compounds is possibly  $\sim 50$  ppt, which could include compounds other than phosgene. Also, models indicate that perhaps 30 ppt of HCFC-22 (a long-lived source gas) is present near 55 km, for 2004 [Nassar *et al.*, 2006]. For total chlorine contained in long-lived source gases, the root sum square difference between the two networks is  $\sim 23$  ppt, or 0.6%. This becomes 1.8% if one adds (in root sum square fashion) a 50 ppt uncertainty from VSL compounds and a 30 ppt uncertainty due to HCFC-22. An accuracy of  $\sim 2\%$  therefore seems to represent the state of knowledge for the total tropospheric chlorine burden converted to inorganic chlorine in the upper stratosphere.

[5] MLS, one of 4 instruments aboard Aura, measures thermal emission from the limb in five spectrally-broad regions [Waters *et al.*, 2006]. HCl emission lines near 625 GHz are used to retrieve  $\sim 3500$  HCl profiles daily (day and night) with  $\sim 165$  km spacing along the  $82^\circ S$  to  $82^\circ N$  sub-orbital track. Vertical resolution for HCl data (version 1.51) is  $\sim 3$ – $4$  km in the lower stratosphere and 6 km in the upper stratosphere. The MLS retrievals [Livesey



**Figure 1.** Tropospheric total chlorine based on source gas network data at the Earth's surface from AGAGE (green) and NOAA (blue). An average curve based on the overlap time period is shown in red. Inset gives the difference (NOAA - AGAGE).




**Figure 2.** Monthly-averaged MLS HCl zonal means (curves with dots) over  $60^\circ S$  to  $60^\circ N$  for Aug. 2004 through Jan. 2006, at 6 upper atmospheric pressures, as indicated by colored labels. Dashed black lines show the uncertainty due to imprecision at 0.46 hPa, defined here as  $\pm$  twice the standard error in the mean. Purple curve is upper atmospheric HCl expected from tropospheric chlorine loading (average from Figure 1), time-shifted by 7 years and adjusted by 0.96 for the HCl/ $Cl_{TOT}$  ratio (near 0.46 hPa).

*et al.*, 2006] use a linearly-connected set of coefficients on a 6-point per decade pressure grid and account for horizontal variations along the sub-orbital track. MLS sensitivity to HCl is evident in the MLS radiance data as high as the upper mesosphere. Early validation of HCl from MLS with other satellite and balloon data is described by Froidevaux *et al.* [2006], with further discussion in section 3. A detailed discussion of the estimated uncertainties (random and systematic) in upper stratospheric MLS HCl is given in the auxiliary material (Text S1).<sup>1</sup>

[6] Figure 2 shows that MLS has measured a decrease in HCl abundances in the upper stratosphere and lower mesosphere between August 2004 and January 2006. The MLS measurement density, repeatability, and precision allow global HCl decreases on a seasonal basis to be precisely tracked (within a given year) for the first time. The average yearly decrease, based on an average of the first 6 months and the last 6 months of these time series, amounts to about 27 pptv (with a scatter from the various altitudes of 3 pptv), or a slope of  $-0.78$  %/yr (and scatter of 0.08 %/yr). The HCl changes shown in Figure 2 are well outside the typical precision in the monthly means; for example, the 2-sigma uncertainty at 0.46 hPa ( $\sim 53$  km) is under 0.003 ppbv, and  $\sim 20$  times less than the total change. Tropospheric chlorine variations, with a time lag, should govern the overall temporal changes in upper stratospheric and lower mesospheric total chlorine, although some non-linear effects due to mixing can occur [e.g., Waugh *et al.*, 2001]. A calculation of decrease/year as performed for the

<sup>1</sup>Auxiliary material data sets are available at <ftp://ftp.agu.org/apend/gl/2006gl027600>. Other auxiliary material files are in the HTML.



**Figure 3.** Upper stratospheric and lower mesospheric HCl/ $\text{Cl}_{\text{TOT}}$  ratios based on recent NCAR SOCRATES 2-D model results at various northern latitudes (see legend). Values correspond to steady-state averages (three-year integration), after a model spin-up of seven years. Similar values are obtained for southern latitudes (not shown).

MLS HCl data, but based on tropospheric chlorine variations time-lagged by 7 years and multiplied by 0.96 to account for the ratio HCl/ $\text{Cl}_{\text{TOT}}$ , gives 29 pptv/year, in very good agreement with MLS data. The factor of 0.96 is based on the 2-D Simulation of Chemistry, Radiation, and Transport of Environmentally important Species (SOCRATES) model results shown in Figure 3, as well as recent evidence from an ACE-FTS inventory of chlorine species in the stratosphere [Nassar *et al.*, 2006], indicating slightly larger ratios (0.96 to 0.98) for HCl/ $\text{Cl}_{\text{TOT}}$  in the upper stratosphere. Peak values of HCl/ $\text{Cl}_{\text{TOT}}$  shown in Figure 3 are between 0.95 and 0.96; additional model chlorine above 55 km comes mainly from ClO and Cl ( $\sim 3\%$  of total), from the  $\text{CHClF}_2$  (HCFC-22) long-lived organic contribution ( $\sim 1\%$ ), and HOCl (0.5%). A time lag of 6 years also leads to reasonable agreement between the MLS data in Figure 2 and expectation, the ground-based decrease (6 years earlier) being  $\sim 27$  pptv/year. However, a lag under 5 years produces a decrease of under 20 pptv/year, which is less consistent with MLS data. The smaller decrease (for shorter time lags) occurs because the rate of decline of tropospheric chlorine has slowed in recent years, mainly as a result of the rapid but (now) slowing decline in  $\text{CH}_3\text{CCl}_3$  [Montzka *et al.*, 1999; O’Doherty *et al.*, 2004]. More complex (and probably more robust) considerations of time lags, involving mean age (transport timescale) of air and spectral widths of the age distribution for a mixture of air parcels are discussed in section 3.


[7] Figure 4 shows global upper atmospheric averages of MLS HCl and inferred values of  $\text{Cl}_{\text{TOT}}$  versus height, with the conversion of HCl to  $\text{Cl}_{\text{TOT}}$  based on an integration of SOCRATES 2-D model HCl/ $\text{Cl}_{\text{TOT}}$  values in ten degree latitude bins. The differences between August 2004 and January 2006 show that the temporal decrease has occurred at all heights in this region.  $\text{Cl}_{\text{TOT}}$  (averaged over the various pressures) has changed from 3646 to 3603 pptv in this 18-month period, for an average decrease of  $43 \text{ pptv} \pm 9 \text{ pptv}$  (precision in the mean).  $\text{Cl}_{\text{TOT}}$  is fairly constant with height, meaning that model and MLS HCl dependences on

height are quite similar; the  $\text{Cl}_{\text{TOT}}$  values near the peak are less sensitive to the model profile shape. At the highest altitudes, both MLS and model values show a small decrease attributed to photolysis of HCl. The 2-sigma accuracy estimate for MLS HCl is  $\sim 5\%$ , based on a consideration of the various possible error sources, as discussed in detail in the auxiliary material (Appendix A in Text S1); uncertainties arising from HCl line width parameters account for more than half of this value. The estimated accuracy for inferred  $\text{Cl}_{\text{TOT}}$  is 7%, obtained by (conservatively) adding 2% uncertainty from HCl/ $\text{Cl}_{\text{TOT}}$ .


### 3. Other Results and Discussion

[8] The average rate of HCl decline (about  $-0.8\%/yr$ ) observed by MLS is commensurate with ground-based expectations from total tropospheric loading and also with the  $-0.7\%/yr$  rate of change in total inorganic chlorine observed since 1997 above the Swiss Alps, based on infrared column measurements of HCl and  $\text{ClONO}_2$  [Mahieu *et al.*, 2004]. Also, there is evidence for a decrease in upper stratospheric ClO since about 1994, from analyses of ground-based microwave data from Hawaii by Solomon *et al.* [2006], who obtained a ClO rate of change near 4 hPa of roughly  $-1.5\%/yr$  from 1994 through 2004, with possibly one third of this caused by changes in methane.

[9] To place the MLS measurements in the context of other satellite datasets for HCl, Figure 5 shows the MLS monthly mean HCl time series at 0.46 hPa for  $60^\circ\text{S} - 60^\circ\text{N}$ , similarly-averaged HALOE (version 19) monthly means (interpolated to 0.46 hPa), and ACE (version 2.2) data at 53.5 km ( $\sim 0.49$  hPa) averaged in nine 3-month periods (quarterly, from January 2004 through March 2006). We also show version 3 data [Iriion *et al.*, 2002] from the four ATMOS missions, using HCl retrieval averages from 50 to



**Figure 4.** Global average upper stratospheric and lower mesospheric MLS HCl (dots) and inferred  $\text{Cl}_{\text{TOT}}$  (triangles) for August 2004 (red) and January 2006 (black).  $\text{Cl}_{\text{TOT}}$  is inferred using SOCRATES 2-D model results for HCl/ $\text{Cl}_{\text{TOT}}$  (see Figure 3). These results are based on zonal means in 10 degree latitude bins, weighted by (cosine of) latitude.



**Figure 5.** (top) Solid curve shows total chlorine ( $\text{Cl}_{\text{TOT}}$ ) time series based on tropospheric data (Figure 1). Dashed curve does not include contributions from short-lived chlorinated substances (WMO 2002 scenario Ab). (middle and bottom) HCl data are shown as  $60^{\circ}\text{S}$ – $60^{\circ}\text{N}$  monthly averages at 0.46 hPa ( $\sim 53$  km) for MLS (closed red circles), similar averages for HALOE (open purple circles), along with quarterly averages (at  $\sim 53$  km) from ACE (green) and ATMOS version 3 data averages (cyan squares) for 50–60 km; see text for more discussion of data and error bars (2-sigma estimates of accuracy). Solid curves use total chlorine (solid curve in Figure 5, top) and different mean age assumptions (5.5 or 7 yr), with various age spectrum widths, as indicated; a factor of 0.96 is applied to get HCl. The dashed black curves give expected HCl based on the WMO 2002 scenario Ab and a mean age (5.5 or 7 yr) and width (2 yr) appropriate for the solid black curves.

60 km in the above latitude range; the ATMOS data contain the fewest profiles and averaging over height helps to reduce the noise to below the accuracy estimate. ACE HCl values in the upper stratosphere are, on average, a few to 5% larger than MLS data, and are larger than HALOE HCl by 10–15% or more; however, ACE HCl in the lower mesosphere departs more from (and is progressively larger than) MLS HCl, as altitude increases [Froidevaux *et al.*, 2006; Nassar *et al.*, 2006]. More work will be needed to decide whether ACE HCl values are too high in the mesosphere. The average ACE HCl abundance (near 53 km) from Figure 5 is 3.69 ppbv, with (1-sigma) variability of  $\sim 0.2$  ppbv. However, ACE provides a mean 2004  $\text{Cl}_{\text{TOT}}$  estimate at lower altitudes of  $\sim 3.65$  ppbv

[Nassar *et al.*, 2006], which is more consistent with the MLS measurements of HCl above 50 km, and in excellent agreement with the August 2004 MLS  $\text{Cl}_{\text{TOT}}$  estimate (also 3.65 ppbv, from Figure 4). We use a 2-sigma accuracy for ACE HCl of 0.3 ppbv, slightly larger than twice the 1-sigma estimate of 0.13 ppbv given by Nassar *et al.* [2006] for midlatitude HCl at 50 km. The main error sources mentioned in the latter work are line intensity (0.08 ppbv), retrieved temperature and pressure (0.08 ppbv), and closure of the spectral fits (0.06 ppbv). The value of 0.3 ppbv as an error bar is used for ATMOS data also, consistent with ATMOS HCl error estimates [e.g., Zander *et al.*, 1996]. One could draw a time series consistent with all results within their uncertainties (including the HALOE 13% accuracy); this would require an adjustment of HALOE HCl upward and ATMOS, ACE, and (possibly) MLS data downward by varying amounts.

[10] In Figure 5, the HCl datasets are compared to expectations of long-term variations in HCl, as obtained from tropospheric chlorine estimates and considerations of mean age of air and various age spectrum widths [e.g., Waugh and Hall, 2002] relating to transport timescales and irreversible mixing of air parcels moving from the surface to the upper stratosphere. The tropospheric chlorine burden is based on total chlorine loading from Figure 1 as well as an example for the WMO 2002 Ab scenario [WMO, 2003], which peaks at 3.58 ppbv instead of 3.69 ppbv, because it only includes contributions from the 12 most abundant long-lived halocarbons. If VSL compounds are transported across the tropopause, it is likely that their decomposition products will contribute to upper stratospheric  $\text{Cl}_{\text{TOT}}$ . However, questions remain regarding how much chlorine can reach the lower stratosphere, either by cross-tropopause transport of the VSL species or of their decomposition products [WMO, 2003]. The satellite results do not place strong constraints on possible chlorine contributions from VSL gases, because of the absolute uncertainties in the measurements and differences between the datasets. While HALOE data are most consistent with no chlorine contribution from VSL compounds, the MLS, ACE, and ATMOS data suggest a significant contribution.

[11] Multi-year balloon-borne observations of stratospheric tracers such as  $\text{CO}_2$  and  $\text{SF}_6$  have led to a mean age estimate of  $\sim 5.5$  years in the middle stratosphere [Schmidt and Khedim, 1991; Engel *et al.*, 2002], but this is not constrained to better than  $\sim 1$  year. Age of air is probably roughly constant in the upper stratosphere, given that these and other balloon  $\text{CO}_2$  measurements exhibit very weak vertical gradients above 25–30 km. MLS data alone cannot distinguish between mean ages of 5.5 or 7 years, shown as examples in Figure 5, or even 4 years (not shown), although closer agreement with the data is obtained for 7 years. While a better constraint on mean age could be obtained from HCl during the phase of rising chlorine, the error bars and differences between datasets preclude a useful constraint. The ATMOS data would be more consistent with an age of 4 to 5 years, while HALOE data favor a value larger than  $\sim 5.5$  years. A mean age of  $\sim 5.5$  years (consistent with results from balloon-borne data) might best accommodate the ensemble of datasets, within their uncertainties. The decrease implied by MLS data favors a width of the age spectrum of 2 years or less.

[12] HALOE data since 2000 show a steeper decrease (by a factor of two or more) than MLS and the various model curves, and explaining some of the variations obtained by HALOE has proved difficult [Waugh *et al.*, 2001]. The scatter in MLS monthly means using a subset of data with a sampling that mimics the HALOE occultation data coverage was found to be smaller than the scatter in HALOE means, but larger than when using the full MLS sampling. ACE seasonal averages in Figure 5 also show more variability than the MLS data, while not being inconsistent with expected decreases. Also, the HALOE data appear to vary coherently over at least a 5 to 6 km range (for altitudes near those used and shown here). This range corresponds to the vertical resolution of MLS in that region, so the coarser MLS resolution should not significantly mask variations such as those exhibited in the HALOE dataset; a smoothing of the temporal decrease would not be an expected result either. Thus, poorer (and less repeatable) sampling in the occultation datasets is partly responsible for explaining the larger variability of the HCl time series, even if that is not the only factor. Other factors could include unknown or poorly characterized error sources leading to more (temporal) variability than expected in HALOE averages or to less variability in the MLS results.

[13] The MLS upper atmospheric HCl measurements to date imply that international agreements to reduce global emissions of ozone-depleting industrial gases are leading to global decreases in stratospheric chlorine, consistent with the observed decrease in surface chlorine burden. Several more years of data will better constrain our knowledge of stratospheric age of air spectrum width and transport-related variability.

[14] **Acknowledgments.** The main work described in this paper was carried out at the Jet Propulsion Laboratory, California Institute of Technology, under an agreement with the National Aeronautics and Space Administration. The ACE mission is funded by the Canadian Space Agency and the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada. We also acknowledge the assistance of R. Cofield, R. Jarnot, A. Lambert, and P. Stek, regarding the discussion of MLS error sources in the auxiliary material (Appendix A in Text S1). We thank K. Walker, G. Manney, and W. Daffer for assistance and comments regarding ACE data, J. Anderson, E. Remsberg, and J. Russell for HALOE data and comments on this work, F. W. Irion for comments on the version 3 ATMOS data, and R. Fuller for some programming assistance. We also acknowledge the work of the NCAR team members who contributed to the SOCRATES 2-D model development and its public release.

## References

- Anderson, J., J. M. Russell III, S. Solomon, and L. E. Deaver (2000), Halogen Occultation Experiment confirmation of stratospheric chlorine decreases in accordance with the Montreal Protocol, *J. Geophys. Res.*, *105*, 4483–4490.
- Bernath, P. F., et al. (2005), Atmospheric Chemistry Experiment (ACE): Mission overview, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, *32*, L15S01, doi:10.1029/2005GL022386.
- Engel, A., M. Strunk, M. Müller, H.-P. Haase, C. Poss, I. Levin, and U. Schmidt (2002), Temporal development of total chlorine in the high-latitude stratosphere based on reference distributions of mean age derived from CO<sub>2</sub> and SF<sub>6</sub>, *J. Geophys. Res.*, *107*(D12), 4136, doi:10.1029/2001JD000584.
- Froidevaux, L., et al. (2006), Early validation analyses of atmospheric profiles from EOS MLS on the Aura satellite, *IEEE Trans. Geosci. Remote Sens.*, *44*, 1106–1121.
- Irion, F. W., et al. (2002), Atmospheric Trace Molecule Spectroscopy (ATMOS) experiment version 3 data retrievals, *Appl. Opt.*, *41*, 6968–6979.
- Kindler, T. P., W. L. Chameides, P. H. Wine, D. M. Cunnold, F. N. Alyea, and J. A. Franklin (1995), The fate of atmospheric phosgene and the stratospheric chlorine loadings of its parent compounds: CCl<sub>4</sub>, C<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>4</sub>, C<sub>2</sub>HCl<sub>3</sub>, CH<sub>3</sub>CCl<sub>3</sub>, and CHCl<sub>3</sub>, *J. Geophys. Res.*, *100*, 1235–1251.
- Livesey, N. J., W. V. Snyder, W. G. Read, and P. A. Wagner (2006), Retrieval algorithms for the EOS Microwave Limb Sounder (MLS) instrument, *IEEE Trans. Geosci. Remote Sens.*, *44*, 1144–1155.
- Mahieu, E., P. Duchatelet, R. Zander, P. Demoulin, C. Servais, C. P. Rinsland, M. P. Chipperfield, and M. DeMaziere (2004), The evolution of inorganic chlorine above the Jungfraujoch station: An update, paper presented at 20th Quadrennial Ozone Symposium, Int. Assoc. for Meteorol. and Atmos. Sci., Kos, Greece, 1–8 June.
- Montzka, S. A., et al. (1999), Present and future trends in the atmospheric burden of ozone-depleting halogens, *Nature*, *398*, 690–694.
- Nassar, R., et al. (2006), A global inventory of stratospheric chlorine in 2004, *J. Geophys. Res.*, *111*, D22312, doi:10.1029/2006JD007073.
- O'Doherty, S., et al. (2004), Rapid growth of hydrofluorocarbon 134a and hydrochlorofluorocarbons 141b, 142b, and 22 from Advanced Global Atmospheric Gases Experiment (AGAGE) observations at Cape Grim, Tasmania, and Mace Head, Ireland, *J. Geophys. Res.*, *109*, D06310, doi:10.1029/2003JD004277.
- Russell, J. M., III, L. L. Gordley, J. H. Park, S. R. Drayson, W. D. Hesketh, R. J. Cicerone, A. F. Tuck, J. E. Frederick, J. E. Harries, and P. J. Crutzen (1993), The Halogen Occultation Experiment, *J. Geophys. Res.*, *98*, 10,777–10,797.
- Schmidt, U., and A. Khedim (1991), In situ measurement of carbon dioxide in the winter arctic vortex and at midlatitudes: An indicator for the 'age' of stratospheric air, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, *18*, 763–766.
- Solomon, P., J. Barrett, T. Mooney, B. Connor, A. Parrish, and D. E. Siskind (2006), Rise and decline of active chlorine in the stratosphere, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, *33*, L18807, doi:10.1029/2006GL027029.
- Toon, G. C., J.-F. Blavier, B. Sen, and B. J. Drouin (2001), Atmospheric COCl<sub>2</sub> measured by solar occultation spectrometry, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, *28*, 2835–2838.
- Waters, J. W., et al. (2006), The Earth Observing System Microwave Limb Sounder (EOS MLS) on the Aura satellite, *IEEE Trans. Geosci. Remote Sens.*, *44*, 1075–1092.
- Waugh, D. W., and T. M. Hall (2002), Age of stratospheric air: Theory, observations, and models, *Rev. Geophys.*, *40*(4), 1010, doi:10.1029/2000RG000101.
- Waugh, D. W., D. B. Considine, and E. L. Fleming (2001), Is upper stratospheric chlorine decreasing as expected?, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, *28*, 1187–1190.
- World Meteorological Organization (WMO) (2003), Scientific assessment of ozone depletion: 2002, *Global Ozone Res. Monit. Proj. Rep. 47*, Geneva, Switzerland.
- Zander, R., et al. (1996), The 1994 northern midlatitude budget of stratospheric chlorine derived from ATMOS/ATLAS-3 observations, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, *23*, 2357–2360.

P. Bernath, Department of Chemistry, University of York, York, YO10 5DD, UK.

C. Boone, Department of Chemistry, University of Waterloo, 200 University Avenue West, Waterloo, ON, Canada N2L 3G1.

D. Cunnold, School of Earth and Atmospheric Sciences, Georgia Institute of Technology, 221 Bobby Dodd Way, Atlanta, GA 30332, USA.

B. Drouin, L. Froidevaux, N. J. Livesey, W. G. Read, R. J. Salawitch, and J. W. Waters, Jet Propulsion Laboratory, California Institute of Technology, 4800 Oak Grove Drive, Pasadena, CA 91109, USA. (lucien@mls.jpl.nasa.gov)

J. Elkins and S. Montzka, Office of Oceanic and Atmospheric Research, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, 325 Broadway, Boulder, CO 80305, USA.

I. A. MacKenzie and H. C. Pumphrey, School of GeoSciences, University of Edinburgh, Crew Building, West Mains Road, Edinburgh EH9 3JN, UK.

R. Nassar, Division of Engineering and Applied Sciences, Harvard University, Pierce Hall 107F, 29 Oxford Street, Cambridge, MA 02138, USA.

D. Waugh, Department of Earth and Planetary Sciences, Johns Hopkins University, 320 Olin Hall, 3400 N. Charles Street, Baltimore, MD 21218, USA.