Hydrogen cyanide in the upper troposphere: GEM-AQ simulation and comparison with ACE-FTS observations
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Abstract. We investigate the spatial and temporal distribution of hydrogen cyanide (HCN) in the upper troposphere through numerical simulations and comparison with observations from a space-based instrument. To perform the simulations, we used the Global Environmental Multiscale Air Quality model (GEM-AQ), which is based on the three-dimensional global multiscale model developed by the Meteorological Service of Canada for operational weather forecasting. The model was run for the period 2004–2006 on a 1.5° × 1.5° global grid with 28 hybrid vertical levels from the surface up to 10 hPa. Objective analysis data from the Canadian Meteorological Centre were used to update the meteorological fields every 24 h. Fire emission fluxes of gas species were generated by using year-specific inventories of carbon emissions with 8-day temporal resolution from the Global Fire Emission Database (GFED) version 2. The model output is compared with HCN profiles measured by the Atmospheric Chemistry Experiment Fourier Transform Spectrometer (ACE-FTS) instrument onboard the Canadian SCISAT-1 satellite. High values of up to a few ppbv are observed in the tropics in the Southern Hemisphere; the enhancement in HCN volume mixing ratios in the upper troposphere is most prominent in October. Low upper-tropospheric mixing ratios of less than 100 pptv are mostly recorded at middle and high latitudes in the Southern Hemisphere in May–July. Mixing ratios in Northern Hemisphere peak in the boreal summer. The amplitude of the seasonal variation is less pronounced than in the Southern Hemisphere. The comparison with the satellite data shows that in the upper troposphere GEM-AQ performs well globally for all seasons, except at northern high and middle latitudes in summer, where the model has a large negative bias, and in the tropics in winter and spring, where it exhibits large positive bias. This may reflect inaccurate emissions or possible inaccuracies in the emission profile. The model is able to explain most of the observed variability in the upper troposphere HCN field, including the interannual variations in the observed mixing ratio. A complementary comparison with daily total columns of HCN from two middle latitude ground-based stations in Northern Japan for the same simulation period shows that the model captures the observed seasonal variation and also points to an underestimation of model emissions in the Northern Hemisphere in the summer. The estimated average global emission equals 1.3 Tg N yr⁻¹. The average atmospheric burden is 0.53 Tg N, and the corresponding lifetime is 4.9 months.

1 Introduction

Hydrogen cyanide (HCN) is a minor constituent of the atmosphere emitted primarily from biomass burning. In the troposphere, it is lost mainly by ocean uptake presumably through biological activity, with a small loss by reaction with OH...
Having a relatively simple behaviour and a tropospheric lifetime of several months, HCN is considered to be a good tracer of biomass burning (e.g., Rinsland et al., 1999, 2000; Li et al., 2003; Yokelson et al., 2003; Rinsland et al., 2007; Nagahama and Suzuki, 2007).

Previous global modelling work focusing on atmospheric HCN was done by Li et al. (2000, 2003). In their study, Li et al. (2000) showed that seasonal variation of observed HCN total columns at 6 sites in the Northern Hemisphere can be reproduced in a model scenario where biomass burning is the main source and ocean uptake is the main sink. They estimated a global biomass burning HCN source of 1.4–2.9 Tg N yr$^{-1}$ and an atmospheric lifetime for HCN of 2.1–4.4 months. Li et al. (2003) used a chemistry and transport model, GEOS-CHEM, to analyse HCN observations in the Asian outflow from the Transport and Chemical Evolution over the Pacific (TRACE-P) aircraft mission which was conducted over the Northwest Pacific between February and April 2001 (Singh et al., 2003). Their modelling study confirmed that the observed vertical gradient in the remote marine air.

Here, we investigate, for the first time, the spatial and temporal distribution of HCN by comparing global long-term (∼3 years) observations in the upper troposphere (UT) from the Atmospheric Chemistry Experiment Fourier transform spectrometer (ACE-FTS) onboard the Canadian SCISAT-1 satellite (Bernath et al., 2005; Boone et al., 2005) with results of numerical simulations performed with the GEM-AQ model (Kaminski et al., 2008).

2 Model

The Global Environmental Multiscale Air Quality model (GEM-AQ) is a global, tropospheric chemistry, general circulation model (GEM) developed by the Meteorological Service of Canada for operational weather forecasting (Côté et al., 1998). The chemical mechanism used in the present study is comprised of 52 gas-phase species and 137 chemical and photolysis reactions. The original mechanism has been expanded to include the oxidation of HCN by OH. All tracers are advected using the semi-Lagrangian scheme native to GEM. The vertical transport includes parameterized subgrid scale turbulence and deep convection. Dry deposition is included as a flux boundary condition in the vertical diffusion equation. Wet deposition of gas-phase species is treated in a simplified way, and only cloud scavenging is considered. The emissions used include yearly-averaged anthropogenic and monthly-averaged biogenic, ocean and soil fluxes, as well as year-specific 8-day-averaged biomass burning fluxes.

2.1 Sources of HCN

Biomass burning emissions were estimated by using year-specific carbon emissions from the Global Fire Emission Database (GFED) version 2 (van der Werf et al., 2006) re-sampled to an 8-day temporal resolution using MODIS fire hot spots ( Giglio et al., 2003). Emission fluxes were generated by applying emission factors (EF) to the amount of dry matter burned as estimated in GFED version 2. By ecosystem type, the HCN emission factors (amount of HCN released per amount of dry matter burned in units of g kg$^{-1}$) are as follows: 0.43 for savannah and grassland fires (derived from Yokelson et al., 2003), 0.66 for tropical primary deforestation fires (Yokelson et al., 2008), 0.54 for pasture maintenance fires in the Amazon region (Yokelson et al., 2008), and 0.81 for extratropical forest fires (Bertschi et al., 2003a).

We have used the same emission factors regardless of fire characteristics or geographical variations within a particular ecosystem; however, the HCN EF is quite variable. For example, Yokelson et al. (2007) estimated an EF of 1.02 on average for the pine forests surrounding Mexico City. They related their finding to the high nitrogen content in the fuel due to deposition of nitrogen-containing pollutants in the outflow from the Mexico City urban area. Christian et al. (2003) estimated a high average HCN EF of 1.62 for Indonesian fires, which contain a significant amount of peat. Also, emission factors may depend on the type of combustion. For boreal fires, Bertschi et al. (2003a) reports an HCN EF of 0.69 for smouldering and 0.92 for flaming combustion. In our calculations, we have used the weighted average value of 0.81 from Bertschi et al. (2003a). Interestingly, the HCN EF does not appear to depend on the relative amount of smouldering and flaming combustion for savannah and tropical forest fires (Yokelson et al., 2003, 2008). The EF value of 0.43 used for savannah and grassland was derived from African fire data reported in Yokelson et al. (2003) and Bertschi et al. (2003a). Given that a large part of African savannah fires burn in the wooded savannah (e.g., Justice et al., 1996), we have assigned it a weight of 0.5 when calculating the average HCN EF from the dataset tabulated in Yokelson et al. (2003). HCN EF values in this study ranged from 0.31 for a dambo (flooded grassland) fire in Zambia to 0.76 for a fire in grassland with some bush and small trees in South Africa. The value measured for a miombo (wooded) savannah fire was 0.37. Assuming that residual smouldering combustion of logs represents 10% of the total fuel consumption, the resulting EF for miombo was estimated to be 0.33 (Bertschi et al., 2003a). It is beyond the scope of this study to address the question of representativeness of these emission factors for each ecosystem.
Fig. 1. HCN monthly emissions by geographical region calculated for the period 2004–2006. Regions were defined according to land mass and fire seasonality.

We must note at this point that uncertainties in estimating the emitted amount of biomass burning species are rather large and difficult to quantify. Along with the emission factors, the other two main factors of uncertainty are fuel consumption and burned area (Ito and Penner, 2005). Burned area is considered to be one of the most uncertain factors (Hoelzemann et al., 2004; van der Werf et al., 2006). Comparison between different burned area products for boreal and tropical savannah ecosystems shows that differences are within 20% for large regions, but interannual differences are larger (not to mention that independent products can exhibit similar biases), while in deforestation regions, burned area estimates are still poorly constrained (van der Werf et al., 2006). Uncertainties in emission estimates are highest in deforestation regions and in regions where peat fires occur (van der Werf et al., 2006). Sinha et al. (2004) estimate that their emissions for African savannah fires are uncertain to ∼70%. As a consequence, we will defer refining our HCN emission inventory until more accurate fire products become available.

Figure 1 shows the HCN monthly emissions calculated for the period 2004–2006 broken down into regional emission areas. The largest fire activity occurs in Africa, contributing about 42% to the global budget of HCN. The fires in Africa exhibit seasonal variation with peaks in December–January in the Northern Hemisphere and in July–August in the Southern Hemisphere. In South and South-east Asia most fire activity takes place from January to April. The smaller peaks during August–September are a result of fires burning North of the Equator in insular South-East Asia. South of the Equator in South America, burning begins in June and reaches a sharp peak in August–September. The region contributes about 17% on average to the total budget. In Central America, fires peak in April–May. In North America, Europe and North Asia, most of the fires burn during the boreal summer. The total amount of HCN emissions averages 1.3 Tg N yr⁻¹, with less than 4% variation between the three years used in the analysis. By contrast, Li et al. (2003) and Singh et al. (2003) estimated a total release of 0.83 Tg and 1.1 Tg, respectively, for the year 2001. Our global source for that same year amounts to 1.2 Tg. Li et al. (2000) estimated a global biomass burning HCN source of 1.4–2.9 Tg N yr⁻¹.

Another important type of biomass burning is the use of domestic biofuels. A study by Bertschi et al. (2003b) on African biofuels found that biofuel burning is a negligible source of HCN. Small amounts of HCN (∼0.01 Tg N yr⁻¹) are released into the atmosphere from gold mining (Korte and Coulston, 1998). HCN exhaust emissions from vehicles are small (Baum et al., 2007; Karlsson, 2004). Li et al. (2003) argued that enhanced HCN observed in the Chinese urban plumes during TRACE-P could indicate an HCN source from hard coal burning. They estimated that a total Asian coal burning source of 0.2 Tg N yr⁻¹ could explain the experimental data. Another possible source of HCN might be biogenic. It is known that thousands of species of higher plants synthesize cyanogenic glycosides and cyanolipids that, upon tissue disruption, are hydrolysed, releasing HCN (Poulton, 1990). Due to the complex nature of this
biochemical process, the amount of HCN released into the atmosphere is difficult to estimate. Based on the TRACE-P data, Singh et al. (2003) estimated a global primary biogenic or secondary source of up to 0.2 Tg N yr\(^{-1}\) (and just a small anthropogenic source of <0.05 Tg N yr\(^{-1}\)) in order to balance the ocean uptake. On the other hand, Shim et al. (2007) used the positive matrix factorisation (PMF) method (Paatero, 1997) to analyse the same TRACE-P data, and concluded that 41% of the HCN variability in the measured volume mixing ratios could be explained by a terrestrial biogenic factor. This would mean a much larger biogenic source than previously thought. However, their PMF analysis of data from the Intercontinental Chemical Transport Experiment – North America, phase A (INTEX-A) did not reveal any biogenic factor. Clearly, more experimental work is needed in order to reconcile these contradictory results and to quantify the potential release of HCN from plants and fossil fuel burning. In our subsequent calculations, all these potential sources were neglected.

In the model, the biomass burning emissions were uniformly injected by mass in a vertical column rather than at the surface, in order to account for the initial rapid lofting induced by the release of heat from the fires (pyroconvection). Injection heights depend on fire intensity and meteorological conditions (Lavoué et al., 2000, 2007), and exhibit a wide range of variation. In most cases, emissions are confined to the boundary layer (Labonne et al., 2007). However, there is evidence that smoke plumes can directly reach the free troposphere (Kahn et al., 2008). Moreover, extreme cases of direct injection into the stratosphere have been observed in boreal regions (e.g., Fromm and Servranckx, 2003; Fromm et al., 2005, 2006; Damoah et al., 2006). Many studies confirm that injection height is an important input parameter to models (de Gouw et al., 2006; O’Neill et al., 2006; Leung et al., 2007; Hyer et al., 2007; Turquety et al., 2007; Freitas et al., 2007; Generoso et al., 2007). For this simulation we assumed prescribed injection heights of 6 km for North American boreal forests, 3 km for other boreal forests, 2 km for temperate forests, and 1 km for tropical forests, savannah and grassland. The same injection heights, but with a different vertical distribution of emissions have been used in the AeroCom experiments (Dentener et al., 2006). The difference in injection height between North Asia (Russia) and North America is based on the assumption that boreal fires in North America are predominantly intense crown fires, while Russian fires are mostly moderate surface fires (Lavoué et al., 2000). Kasischke et al. (2005), on the other hand, suggests that satellite imagery seems to show that a high percentage of the Russian fires are actually crown fires.

**2.2 Sinks of HCN**

Ocean uptake, presumably through biological degradation, is the main sink for HCN (Singh et al., 2003). Observed vertical gradients of HCN mixing ratios in remote marine air during the TRACE-P mission were used by Li et al. (2003) and Singh et al. (2003) for estimating the dry deposition velocity of HCN over the sea surface. By applying a simple steady-state box model for the HCN budget balanced between entrainment from the free troposphere (FT) to the marine boundary layer (MBL), dry deposition to the sea surface and photochemical loss via OH reaction in the marine boundary layer, Li et al. (2003) obtained 0.13 cm s\(^{-1}\), whereas Singh et al. (2003) obtained 0.12 cm s\(^{-1}\) with an uncertainty of the order of 20% solely from the choice of entrainment velocity from FT to MBL. Also, it should be noted that it is reasonable to expect the dry deposition velocity of HCN to vary with biological activities changing by region, season and wind speed.

In our study we used a deposition velocity of 0.16 cm s\(^{-1}\) over oceans and assumed it to be spatially and temporally constant. This value was chosen based on sensitivity runs; it is a larger value than that of Li et al. (2003) and Singh et al. (2003) and it improves the agreement with ACE observations in the upper troposphere. A decrease in the ocean uptake to 0.13 cm s\(^{-1}\) leads to an increase in the global average of HCN mixing ratio in the upper troposphere of about 20%. The global burden is a balance between emissions and (largely) surface loss. Thus, changing either one will affect the burden. Local mixing ratios, however, will depend on local sources, vertical and horizontal emission distributions and long range transport.

Soils are likely not an important sink for HCN (Singh et al., 2003, 2007). Wet scavenging is a minor sink, together with destruction by photolysis and by reaction with O(1D) (Cicerone and Zellner, 1983; Kleinböhl et al., 2006). These sinks were neglected. Oxidation by OH is also minor overall, but significant in the stratosphere, and is implemented using the termolecular reaction rate from Kleinböhl et al. (2006). We have also neglected the production of HCN from the oxidation of CH\(_2\)CN by OH in the stratosphere hypothesized by Kleinböhl et al. (2006), who concluded that an HCN yield of 30% from the reaction of CH\(_2\)CN with OH is consistent with a set of HCN profiles from balloon-borne infrared solar occultation measurements.

**3 Measurements**

SCISAT-1 is a small Canadian satellite that was launched on 12 August 2003 into a 74° inclined orbit at 650 km altitude (Bernath et al., 2005). The satellite carries three instruments operating in occultation mode with a shared field of view to take advantage of the high precision of the solar occultation technique.

ACE-FTS, the main instrument onboard, is an infrared Fourier transform spectrometer with a spectral resolution of 0.02 cm\(^{-1}\) from 750 to 4400 cm\(^{-1}\). The instrument is self-calibrating as low Sun solar spectra are divided by exo-atmospheric solar spectra from the same occultation. The
orbit yields tropical to high latitude occultations in both hemispheres (over the course of one year) with a typical vertical resolution of 3–4 km. The orbit was optimized to provide the largest coverage at high latitudes in winter and spring. Species profiles can be retrieved down to about 5 km in cloud free situations. The retrieval procedure is described in Boone et al. (2005).

The HCN results employed here are research products, featuring improvements over the official ACE-FTS version 2.2 HCN data products. The research product uses more microwindows than the version 2.2 data product and extends to much higher altitude. Retrievals for the ACE-FTS research HCN product employ 10 microwindows between 1395 and 1460 cm$^{-1}$ and 22 microwindows between 3260 and 3355 cm$^{-1}$. The altitude range varies with latitude, extending from 8 to 42 km at the Equator and 6 to 35 km at the poles, with a sin$^2$(latitude) variation to the altitude limits in between. Interferers in the microwindows (retrieved simultaneously with HCN) were H$_2$O isotopologues H$^{16}$O, H$^{18}$O, H$_2^{17}$O and HD$^{16}$O, CO$_2$ isotopologues $^{16}$O$^{12}$C$^{16}$O and $^{16}$O$^{12}$C$^{18}$O, N$_2$O isotopologues $^{14}$N$^{14}$N$^{16}$O and $^{15}$N$^{14}$N$^{16}$O, CH$_4$ and C$_2$H$_2$, with different volume mixing ratio profiles retrieved for different isotopologues of a given molecule.

We note that early in the mission, outgassed water in the ACE-FTS instrument resulted in ice collecting on the cold detector windows. This water has been gradually removed over the course of the mission through periodic heating of the detector assembly. Ice has a broad absorption feature with a peak near 3250 cm$^{-1}$, and so HCN microwindows near 3300 cm$^{-1}$ suffered from poor signal-to-noise for occultations with significant ice contamination on the detectors. Results below $\sim$10 km come only from the microwindows near 3300 cm$^{-1}$ (atmospheric H$_2$O saturates the region near 1400 cm$^{-1}$ for altitudes below $\sim$10 km). The errors below 10 km therefore varied strongly early in the mission, depending on the extent of ice buildup on the detectors, but this variability declined in later years as the ice contamination was removed. There was less variability in the errors for results above 10 km since the region near 1400 cm$^{-1}$ is not sensitive to ice contamination. Even in the absence of ice, errors in 10 km are smaller than errors below 10 km since the signal-to-noise ratio near 1400 cm$^{-1}$, being slightly over 300:1, is much larger than it is near 3300 cm$^{-1}$, i.e., 150:1. The statistical fitting error from the least-squares method varies on average from 5% over high latitudes to 10% in the tropics at 8.5 km, doubles 1 km below, and slightly decreases by 1–2% above 8.5 km and in the lower stratosphere. The systematic error on the retrieved volume mixing ratios from the spectroscopic constants is 2 to 5%.

HCN data from ACE-FTS have been previously used in other studies. Rinsland et al. (2005) focused on HCN and other biomass burning products in the upper troposphere at lower to middle Southern latitudes for September–November 2004, and Rinsland et al. (2007) analysed ACE-FTS data recorded over Alaska and Canada in June–July 2004, the period with the largest fires on record in Alaska and Yukon Territory, Canada. Coheur et al. (2007) described ACE-FTS observations of various molecules, including HCN, sampling a young biomass burning plume over the East coast of Tanzania in October 2005. Pumphrey et al. (2008) reported the existence of a tropical tape recorder in HCN by analysing tropical stratospheric data from ACE-FTS (covering the period 2004–2007) and from the Microwave Limb Sounder (MLS).

For our present analysis we have used occultations spanning the period from March 2004 to December 2006.

### Results

The model was run for the period 2004–2006 on a 1.5$^\circ$ × 1.5$^\circ$ global grid with 28 hybrid vertical levels from the surface up to 10 hPa. Objective analysis data from the Canadian Meteorological Centre were used to update the meteorological fields every 24 h. The model was spun up for 4 years in order to achieve quasi-stationarity in the stratosphere, where the photochemical lifetime of HCN is of the order of several years. The HCN field was saved every hour, and the output was linearly interpolated to the location and time of each sunrise and sunset occultation. The location and time of each occultation were obtained from the latitude, longitude and time of the 30 km tangent point (calculated geometrically).

Figure 2 displays the observed and modelled time series of HCN vertical profiles along the satellite track for the entire period. For clarity, the profiles from the sunrise and sunset occultations are shown on separate plots. It can be seen that, in general, the observed features are most of the time well reproduced by the model. The highest values are observed in the tropics in the Southern Hemisphere; the enhancement in HCN mixing ratios (with values up to a few thousand pptv) in the upper troposphere is most prominent in October (beginning of October for the sunset profiles and end of October for the sunrise profiles). Conversely, both measurements and model show low upper-tropospheric mixing ratios (<100 pptv) at middle and high latitudes in the Southern Hemisphere in May–July (sunrise occultations from beginning of May to beginning of June, and sunset occultations from end of June to end of July). On the other hand, high HCN mixing ratios are observed in the Northern Hemisphere at latitudes >30$^\circ$ in May–July (same sunset/sunrise periods as above), but the model underestimates the magnitude of the enhancement. The largest discrepancy can be seen for the month of July 2005 (in the sunset occultation time series).

On Fig. 3 we present a seasonal composite plot of the horizontal distribution of HCN mixing ratios from ACE-FTS and GEM-AQ at an altitude of 8.5 km. A total of 4811 retrievals were available at this altitude for comparison, of which 3198 at latitudes >60$^\circ$ and only 399 in the tropics (latitudes <30$^\circ$). We notice the good overall agreement between model and
Fig. 2. HCN mixing ratio (pptv) vertical profiles from ACE-FTS and GEM-AQ for sunrise and sunset occultations between March 2004 and December 2006. The model output was interpolated at the location and time of the occultations. End-of-scale red denotes mixing ratios ≥375 pptv.

observations for all seasons, with the notable exception of the Northern Hemisphere at middle and high latitudes in summer and autumn, where the model has negative bias. We complement Fig. 3 with Table 1 in which we provide, for the same altitude, a seasonal statistical summary to illustrate the comparison between model and measurements. The global average of the modelled mixing ratio for all three years of data is about 4% less than the observed mean. The corresponding standard deviations also compare well, given that variability in model output from a low-resolution run will necessarily be less than that observed. However, we note that the correlation values are rather low. This is most likely a result of large uncertainties in biomass burning emissions and their temporal and spatial distribution, in injection heights and possibly in the loss term, as well as, but to a lower extent, to uncertainties in model transport (with the possible exception of subgrid convective transport).

In order to get a better picture of the seasonal variation of the HCN mixing ratios and of the model performance, we show in Fig. 4 the time series of measured and modelled monthly means at 8.5 km and 13.5 km for 30-degree-wide latitude bands. We note the difference between the Southern and Northern Hemispheres at 8.5 km in the ACE-FTS data: the seasonal variation has a larger amplitude in the Southern Hemisphere. At Northern mid-latitudes the largest peak, which is observed in the summer, has small interannual variation and is less than 350 pptv. By contrast, the observed October peak at Southern mid-latitudes is about 730 pptv in 2005, and its lowest value, in 2006, still reaches about 380 pptv. An interesting point is that the model seems to be able to capture this interannual variability of the HCN field in the Southern Hemisphere. September–November 2006, compared with the same period for 2005, was characterised by large fires in Indonesia that may be associated with a
Fig. 3. Composite plot of HCN mixing ratios (pptv) from ACE-FTS and GEM-AQ at 8.5 km for occultations between March 2004 and December 2006. The model output was interpolated at the location and time of the occultations.

weak El Niño that year (Rinsland et al., 2008; Logan et al., 2008), and low fire activity in South America (Fig. 1). The biomass burning subtropical “megaplume” (Chatfield et al., 2002) generated by the fires in South America and South Africa, and plotted in Fig. 5, was less intense in 2006 than in 2005, and the difference is reflected, as noted above, in the monthly mixing ratio values for mid-latitudes. Higher mixing ratios from the fires in Indonesia in October 2006 as compared with October 2005 can be seen at higher altitudes in the tropical region (Fig. 4b).

It is interesting to note that the mixing ratio peaks observed in the Southern Hemisphere and depicted in Fig. 4 are offset relative to the emission peaks shown in Fig. 1. For example, in 2005, August was the month with peak HCN emissions, most of which (about 60% according to our estimation) came out of tropical South America. In September, emissions in South America decreased by about 40%, and in October they became relatively small. Emissions from Africa (the second largest source) also decreased over the same three months. Looking at Fig. 4, we see that, in the Southern Hemisphere, the monthly zonal means at 8.5 km increased from August to October. In August, tropical South America, the region with the largest emissions, is characterized by subsidence which tends to inhibit deep convection. Thus, the emissions are mostly localized around the source areas and confined to the lower troposphere. This results in relatively small mixing ratios of HCN in terms of zonal means at altitudes accessible to the ACE instrument. As the dry season breaks down
in September, synoptic activity starts to loft the emissions, transport them quasi-isentropically to the middle to upper troposphere and towards extratropical latitudes, and spread them zonally. At the same time, the atmospheric burden of HCN increases over months, due to the long lifetime of the tracer. The net result is the observed increase in the measured mixing ratios.

In the Northern Hemisphere at middle and high latitudes in the summer, GEM-AQ is consistently underpredicting the mixing ratios of HCN. To investigate the issue, we performed two sensitivity runs. In the first run we injected 80% of boreal forest emissions between 4.5 and 6.5 km (rather than uniformly between surface and 3 km for Siberia and surface and 6 km for North America). The need for such a vertical distribution of emissions, with more mass near the injection height, and with higher injection heights for Siberian fires, is supported by modelling studies such as that of Generoso et al. (2007) focusing on aerosol and CO from the 2003 Russian fires. Turquety et al. (2007) has also shown, for the case of the large Alaskan fires of summer 2004, that better agreement with observations (MOPITT CO in this case) is obtained when a significant part of emissions is injected in the middle and upper troposphere. We also find that injecting more mass higher improves the agreement between GEM-AQ and ACE-FTS. For example, the underestimation for July 2005 is reduced from 56% to 25%. In the second sensitivity run we added to the biomass burning source of 1.3 Tg N yr\(^{-1}\) a global biogenic HCN source of 0.2 Tg N yr\(^{-1}\) scaled from the POET (Precursors of ozone and their effects in the troposphere) inventory of biogenic methanol (Olivier et al., 2003), in order to approximate seasonal patterns in vegetation growth. This also reduces the disagreement with measurements at Northern middle and high latitudes in the boreal summer to less than 25%; but the already existing positive bias in the Southern Hemisphere and North of the Equator in the tropics (Table 1) increases. The all-season bias of means reaches \(\sim 25\%\) North of the Equator in the tropics and \(\sim 20\%\) at high Southern latitudes. Due to uncertainties in the estimation of the biomass burning sources, we are unable to either rule out or confirm the existence of a biogenic source
of HCN. We also note that the number of data available for comparison in the tropics is rather low.

In order to see how the model performs at higher altitudes, we have plotted in Fig. 4b the monthly mean mixing ratios at an altitude of 13.5 km for the same six latitude bands as in Fig. 4a. The number of valid retrievals used in the plot was 9241, almost twice as many as that at 8.5 km. It can be noticed that seasonal variations are much less pronounced at this altitude. This is to be expected, since most of the extratropical observations at this altitude are in the lower stratosphere. The model is systematically underestimating the observations by about 10% on average, ranging from ~3% in the tropical troposphere to ~15% over high latitudes. We attribute this difference to our neglecting the HCN production from CH3CN in the stratosphere and possibly to an overestimation of stratospheric OH that results in faster depletion of HCN. In general, the underestimation in the stratosphere for altitudes up to 20 km is up to ~12% globally, with higher values of up to ~20% at latitudes >60°.

In addition, we have compared the total HCN columns measured at Moshiri (44.4°N, 142.3°E, 280 m a.s.l.) and Rikubetsu (43.5°N, 143.8°E, 370 m a.s.l.) in Hokkaido, Northern Japan. The total column abundance of HCN has been retrieved from infrared solar spectra for the period overlapping with the ACE observations, i.e., 2004–2006. The spectra were recorded with high spectral resolution ground-based Fourier transform infrared (FTIR) spectrometers. The FTIR spectrometers at Rikubetsu and Moshiri were a Bruker IFS 120 M with resolution of 0.0035 cm⁻¹ and a Bruker IFS 120 HR with resolution of 0.0020 cm⁻¹, respectively. The FTIR spectrometers were installed and operated by the Solar-Terrestrial Environment Laboratory (STEL) of Nagoya University and the spectral data were provided by STEL. The details on the FTIR spectrometers at Moshiri and Rikubetsu are described in Zhao et al. (2002). HCN was retrieved from a single microwindow of 3287.15–3287.37 cm⁻¹. Spectral parameters for the atmospheric HCN absorption lines were taken from the 2004 edition of the HITRAN database (Rothman et al., 2005). The total column abundance was calculated by SFIT1 version 1.09e. The mean standard deviations of the total column abundance at Rikubetsu and Moshiri are ~3% and ~1%, respectively. The total uncertainty in the retrieved columns was estimated to be 8%.

Table 1. Mean, standard deviation, number of data (n), correlation coefficient (r), and relative mean difference (d) at 8.5 km by latitude band and season for ACE-FTS and GEM-AQ HCN volume mixing ratios.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Zonal Band</th>
<th>Season</th>
<th>ACE-FTS (pptv)</th>
<th>GEM-AQ (pptv)</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>r</th>
<th>d (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>60–90° N</td>
<td>DJF</td>
<td>189±27</td>
<td>186±16</td>
<td>435</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MAM</td>
<td>223±47</td>
<td>203±32</td>
<td>585</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>JJA</td>
<td>330±54</td>
<td>244±42</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>−0.04</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SON</td>
<td>231±39</td>
<td>185±17</td>
<td>580</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>All</td>
<td>223±51</td>
<td>195±29</td>
<td>1702</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30–60° N</td>
<td>DJF</td>
<td>177±38</td>
<td>182±17</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MAM</td>
<td>275±71</td>
<td>260±81</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>JJA</td>
<td>299±91</td>
<td>211±32</td>
<td>181</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SON</td>
<td>244±52</td>
<td>199±21</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>All</td>
<td>256±83</td>
<td>213±54</td>
<td>547</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0–30° N</td>
<td>DJF</td>
<td>183±55</td>
<td>360±167</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MAM</td>
<td>289±127</td>
<td>306±115</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>JJA</td>
<td>217±105</td>
<td>242±86</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SON</td>
<td>215±59</td>
<td>212±49</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>All</td>
<td>244±115</td>
<td>275±112</td>
<td>164</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0–30° S</td>
<td>DJF</td>
<td>189±76</td>
<td>238±57</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MAM</td>
<td>164±65</td>
<td>244±60</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>JJA</td>
<td>221±157</td>
<td>235±74</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SON</td>
<td>434±211</td>
<td>383±159</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>All</td>
<td>294±200</td>
<td>298±134</td>
<td>235</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30–60° S</td>
<td>DJF</td>
<td>235±62</td>
<td>242±42</td>
<td>151</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MAM</td>
<td>157±50</td>
<td>182±34</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>JJA</td>
<td>136±45</td>
<td>162±40</td>
<td>159</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SON</td>
<td>365±153</td>
<td>356±140</td>
<td>242</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>All</td>
<td>245±140</td>
<td>254±123</td>
<td>667</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60–90° S</td>
<td>DJF</td>
<td>230±37</td>
<td>230±15</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MAM</td>
<td>164±33</td>
<td>173±18</td>
<td>640</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>JJA</td>
<td>126±43</td>
<td>142±22</td>
<td>320</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SON</td>
<td>234±67</td>
<td>245±70</td>
<td>454</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>All</td>
<td>181±64</td>
<td>191±38</td>
<td>1496</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Global</td>
<td>All</td>
<td>221±95</td>
<td>212±77</td>
<td>4811</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 5. HCN monthly mean 450-ppt isosurface from GEM-AQ for (a) October 2005 and (b) October 2006. The isosurface is coloured by altitude. The plots were generated with the Integrated Data Viewer (http://www.unidata.ucar.edu/software/idv/).
Fig. 6. Daily mean HCN total columns at Moshiri (blue triangles) and Rikubetsu (red squares) in Northern Japan. The measurements were performed with ground-based FTIR spectrometers. Daily-averaged model output is shown as black “plus” signs.

comparison is presented in Fig. 6. Since the distance between the two stations is of the order of the model grid size (1.5°) and the measurements were similar, we have plotted the data from both stations on the same figure, while the model was sampled at the grid point closest to both locations. We must note that averaging kernels were not available and therefore not applied to the model output. Averaging kernels for the HCN total column computed with SFIT2 show that they are broad with vertical sensitivity that peaks in the middle and upper troposphere (Rinsland et al., 1999, Fig. 1). Fig. 6 shows that the model captures the observed seasonal variation, and even individual events like the peaks in mid July and mid October 2004. We also note that the largest discrepancy between model and measurements is for the month of June 2005. During this month the model consistently underestimates the column amount by about 30%. Large mixing ratios at the end of June 2005 over Northern mid-latitudes can also be seen in the ACE-FTS data. Since the columns for the other two years compare reasonably well with the model, we tentatively conclude that emissions in the Northern Hemisphere for 2005 may have been underestimated.

We conclude by presenting the HCN budget from the simulation. We have already stated that the estimated average global emission equals 1.3 Tg N yr$^{-1}$. Most of this amount, 1.2 Tg N yr$^{-1}$, is taken up by oceans and 0.1 Tg N yr$^{-1}$ is oxidised by OH. The average atmospheric burden is about 0.53 Tg N, and the corresponding lifetime is 4.9 months. By comparison, Li et al. (2003) estimated a burden of 0.43 Tg N and a lifetime of 6.2 months, while Singh et al. (2003) give a value of 0.44 Tg N for the burden and 5.0 months for the lifetime.

5 Conclusions

We have shown that a three-year GEM-AQ simulation performed by using HCN biomass burning emissions based on GFED version 2 is consistent, in terms of amount and seasonality, with observations of upper-tropospheric HCN from ACE-FTS. Our model results show that in the upper troposphere GEM-AQ performs well globally for all seasons, except at Northern high and middle latitudes in summer, where the model has large negative bias, and in the tropics in winter and spring, where it exhibits large positive bias. The model is able to explain most of the observed variability in the upper troposphere HCN field, including the interannual variations in the observed mixing ratio. In our calculations, we assumed a constant value of 0.16 cm s$^{-1}$ for the net ocean uptake. This is a simplifying assumption and may not be accurate. More research is needed in order to constrain the oceanic sink. The injection height is an important modelling parameter for fires in the boreal regions. A better estimation of this parameter is needed. The biomass burning emissions require further refinement. A better characterisation of emissions for different ecosystems is desirable. It is also important to explore and quantify the potential release of HCN from biogenic sources.
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