# New Observations of the $A^{1}\Pi_{u} - X^{1}\Sigma_{g}^{+}$ Transition (Phillips System) of C<sub>2</sub>

## M. DOUAY,<sup>1</sup> R. NIETMANN, AND P. F. BERNATH<sup>2</sup>

Department of Chemistry, University of Arizona, Tucson, Arizona 85721

We have reanalyzed the infrared part of the  $A^{1}\Pi_{u}-X^{1}\Sigma_{s}^{+}$  (Phillips system) of C<sub>2</sub>. Improved molecular constants were derived and the v'' = 5 and v'' = 6 vibrational levels of the  $X^{1}\Sigma_{s}^{+}$  state were observed for the first time. RKR curves and  $A^{1}\Pi_{u}-X^{1}\Sigma_{s}^{+}$  Franck-Condon factors were calculated from the equilibrium molecular constants. © 1988 Academic Press, Inc.

## I. INTRODUCTION

The C<sub>2</sub> molecule is found in a very wide variety of sources. C<sub>2</sub> occurs in comets (1-3), interstellar clouds (4-11), the sun (12-14), and in stellar atmospheres (15, 16). In the laboratory, C<sub>2</sub> is abundant in flames (17-19), explosions, and electrical discharges of carbon-containing molecules.

In 1948, Phillips (20) discovered the  $A^{1}\Pi_{u}-X^{1}\Sigma_{g}^{+}$  electronic transition of C<sub>2</sub> which bears his name. Ballik and Ramsay (21) observed many additional bands of the Phillips system and in 1970 Marenin and Johnson provided a consistent set of spectroscopic constants (22). More recently, high-quality Fourier transform data for  ${}^{12}C_{2}$  (23, 24),  ${}^{12}C{}^{13}C_{2}$  and  ${}^{13}C_{2}$  (25) have become available.

The oscillator strength of the  $A^1 \Pi_u - X^1 \Sigma_g^+$  transition is still controversial with many experimental (26-29) and theoretical estimates (30-33). The A-X oscillator strength is relatively weak (~10<sup>-3</sup>). An accurate value is required to convert observed C<sub>2</sub> absorption spectra into an estimate of C<sub>2</sub> concentration (or, more precisely, into a C<sub>2</sub> column density).

The classic work of Ballik and Ramsey (34) proved the ground state of  $C_2$  to be  $X^1\Sigma_g^+$  rather than  $a^3\Pi_u$  by the observation of singlet-triplet perturbations. As a result, there have been several ab initio studies of the interaction between the singlet and triplet manifolds (35-37). These perturbations have important astrophysical consequences because they (along with quadrupole radiation) permit the homonuclear  $C_2$  molecule to relax and cool (37). Additional ab initio calculations of the molecular properties of  $C_2$  have been carried out by many workers (38-43).

Many molecules fragment upon absorption of ultraviolet radiation or multiphoton absorption of visible or infrared photons, usually releasing simple molecules such as CN, CH, and C<sub>2</sub>. The photochemical production of C<sub>2</sub> provides a convenient molecular

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Present address: Lab. de Spectroscopie des Molécules Diatomiques, CNRS UA779, Université des Sciences et Techniques de Lille, Bât. P5, 59655 Villeneuve d'Ascq Cedex, France.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Alfred P. Sloan Fellow; Camille and Henry Dreyfus Teacher-Scholar.

source for the study of  $C_2$  reaction kinetics,  $C_2$  quenching and  $C_2$  triplet-singlet interconversion (44-51).  $C_2$  is also formed in the reaction of hydrocarbons with rare gas metastables (52).

In the course of our discovery of the  $B^1\Delta_g - A^1\Pi_u$  and  $B'{}^1\Sigma_g^+ - A^1\Pi_u$  electronic transitions (53) by infrared Fourier transform emission spectroscopy, we noted many previously unobserved bands of the Phillips system. A simultaneous fit of all of our observed singlet C<sub>2</sub> data has provided improved spectroscopic constants for v = 0-5of the  $A^1\Pi_u$  state and v = 0-6 of the  $X^1\Sigma_g^+$  state. The v = 5 and 6 levels of the  $X^1\Sigma_g^+$  state were not directly observed in any previous work.

## **II. EXPERIMENTAL DETAILS**

Strong emission spectra of the  $C_2$  molecule were found in two data sets previously recorded for other purposes. These spectra were excited by an electrodeless microwave discharge of hydrocarbons in a quartz tube. In one run a mixture of 2.75 Torr of He, 0.030 Torr of CH<sub>4</sub>, and 0.040 Torr of white phosphorous vapor flowed through the discharge tube. The phosphorous is, presumably, not required. The second spectrum was recorded from a discharge of 3.3 Torr of argon and 0.80 Torr of allene. The first spectrum was extremely rich with strong spectra of CO, CH, PH, CP, P<sub>2</sub>, ArH, and CN, as well as C<sub>2</sub>. The second data set had a reduced signal-to-noise ratio for C<sub>2</sub>, but was not as congested.

The emission from the discharge tube was observed with the McMath Fourier transform spectrometer of the National Solar Observatory at Kitt Peak. InSb detectors and a silicon filter limited the band pass to approximately 1800–9000 cm<sup>-1</sup>. The unapodized resolution was set to 0.02 cm<sup>-1</sup>. In the first spectrum, 10 scans were coadded in 70 min of integration, while in the second spectrum 8 scans were recorded in 58 min.

The first spectrum was calibrated with the vibration-rotation lines of the impurity molecule CO (54) near 2200 cm<sup>-1</sup>. The calibration factor of  $1 + 5.74 \times 10^{-7}$  was applied to the entire spectrum. The CO lines were much weaker in the second spectrum so the calibration was transferred to this spectrum using several strong lines of the 0-0 band of the  $b^3 \Sigma_g^- - a^3 \Pi_u$  (Ballik-Ramsay) system. The absolute accuracy of the calibration is estimated to be better than  $\pm 0.001$  cm<sup>-1</sup> for both spectra. The precision of the strong, unblended lines in the 0-0 band of the  $A^1 \Pi_u - X^1 \Sigma_g^+$  transition is about 0.0002 cm<sup>-1</sup>.

## **III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

The interferograms were transformed by G. Ladd to provide the two spectra. The line positions were extracted from the spectrum with the data reduction program DECOMP developed at Kitt Peak. The peak positions were found by fitting a Voigt lineshape function to each feature using a nonlinear least-squares procedure. Since the spectra are very congested, we proceeded initially by predicting the line positions and then picking out and assigning just a few lines. The preliminary fit of these lines provided an accurate prediction of the remaining lines in the band. Our search for new bands was guided by a preliminary Franck-Condon calculation.

	TA	BL	Æ	I
--	----	----	---	---

# Observed Line Positions of the $A^{1}\Pi_{\mu}-X^{1}\Sigma_{g}^{+}$ Transition of C<sub>2</sub> (in cm<sup>-1</sup>)

A.			0-0 BAND				C.			4-4 BAND			
J	R	0-C	Qr.	0-C	P	0-C	J	R.,	0-C	Qr.	0-C	P	0-C
0.0	8271.6056	- 0					6.0	7335.9723	4	7314.4382	3	7295.9637	2
2.0	8276.8191	1	8267.1726	0	8260.7396	1	8.0	7336.2253	1	7308.5496	1	7283.9197	- 2
4.0	8280.4061	-1	8264.3333	2	8251.4666	1	10.0	7334.9031	4	7301.0927	0	7270.3116	0
6.0	8282.3669	3	8259.8714	2	8240.5722	0	12.0	7332.0042	•1	7292.0689	4	7255.1419	12
8.0	8282.6994	1	8253.7878	1	8228.0583	0	14.0	7327.5294	-11	7281.4786	0	7238.4099	- 3
10.0	8281.4038	1	8246.0830	0	8213.9269	0	16.0	7321.4922	105	7269.3249	2	7220.1219	-12
14.0	8273 9266	1	8225 8142	.,	8198.1807	- 3	20.0	7313.8580	-5	7255,6089	14	7200.2836	
16.0	8267 7443	-3	8213 2523	- 1	8161 8490	- 3	20.0	7203 80/1	-4	7240.3316	-10	7155 0545	-2
18.0	8259.9336	-5	8199.0740	-1	8141.2704	- 2	24.0	7293.0941	40	7205 1139	-1	7131 4815	19
20.0	8250.4952	-2	8183.2802	-6	8119.0867	-1	26.0	7267.6490	2	7185.1763	-2	7105.4660	
22.0	8239.4286	- 3	8165.8743	- 2	8095.3009	-1	28.0	7252.1755	- 5	7163,6923	6	120314000	
24.0	8226.7351	- 3	8146.8568	- 1	8069.9169	5	30.0	7235.1517	118	7140.6603	- 30		
26.0	8212.4155	- 4	8126.2304	0	8042.9385	22	32.0	7216.5427	- 5	7116.0965	7		
28.0	8196.4721	7	8103.9973	1	8014.3634	- 9							
30.0	8178.9025	- 5	8080.1601	3	7984.2025	-19							
32.0	8159.7124	1	8054.7211	2	7952.4617	12	D.			5-5 BAND			
34.0	8138.9017	2	8027.6835	1	7919.1368	1							
36.0	8116.4717	1	7999.0509	7	7884.2379	6							
38.0	8092.4246	- 3	7968.8243	-4	/84/./6/2	4	J	R	0-C	0.	0-C	P	0-0
40.0	9030 4013	2	7937.0107	11	7809.7297	- 3				~~~~		- • •	
42.0	8010 6136	60	7868 6190	-105	1110.1309	•••			,			70.05 5500	
44,0	0010.0150	00	7832 0705	-105			4.0	/113.046/	6	3003 6360		7085.6528	-31
40,0			/032.0/05				0.U 8 0	7115 1390	5	7093.3760	-2	/0/5.3156	4/
_							10.0	7113 8503	- 50	7080 4223	-17	7049 9910	
в.			3-3 BAND				12.0	7111.0128	2	7071.5322	31	/04/.//10	
							14.0	7106.6236	3	7061.0959	26		
					· · · · ·		16.0	-	-	-	-		
J	R	0-C	Q <sub>f</sub> .	0 - C	P	0-0	18.0		-	7035.6016	- 27		
							20.0	7084.1529	-14	-	-		
0.0	7554.2441	5					22.0	7073.5709	13	7003.9772	1		
2.0	7559.2771	0			7543.7117	2							
4.0	7562.7194	2	7547.1599	3	7534.7033	- 8	F			B-T BAND			
6.0	7564.5690	1	7542.7925	0	7524.1099	- 3	<b>L</b>			V-1 MAND			
8.0	7564.8257	3	7536.8382	0	7511.9314	0							
10.0	/563.4881	- 3	7529.2981	2	7498.1699	-1					~ ~		
14.0	7556 0320	-19	7520.1723	6	7462.0282	-1	5	~ <b>•</b> •	0-0	41.	0-0	F	0+0
16.0	7549.9270	104	7497.1706	ő	7447.4143	-2							
18.0	7542.2061	-4	7483.3001	16	7427.3485	1	• •	(111 101C	-				
20.0	7532.9025	-20	7467.8482	4	7405.7129	- 8	0.0	6444.1213	,	6420 7067	1	6433 3703	<i>c ı</i> .
22.0	7522.0115	0	7450.8215	5	7382.5137	- 3	4.0	6453 2857	ŝ	6437 2118	-1	6424 3452	- 2
24.0	7509.5273	-15	7432.2214	8	7357.7558	25	6,0	6455.6459	1	6433.1506	ī	6413.8512	- 2
26.0	7495.4581	3	7412.0495	3	7331.4311	-40	8.0	6456.5250	5	6427.6128	0	6401.8833	- 2
28.0	7479.8013	11	7390.3122	24	7303.5632	- 8	10.0	6455.9206	0	6420.5997	-2	6388.4437	-1
30.0	7462.5594	15	7367.0049	- 5	7274.1441	-2	12.0	6453.8338	0	6412.1124	-2	6373.5340	-4
32.0	/443./33/	6	7315 7149	-4	/243,1832	23	14,D	6450.2643	0	6402.1519	-1	6357.1578	0
36.0			7287 7302	- 1			16.0	6445.2118	0	6390.7195	- 2	6339.3164	-1
38.0			7258.2131	4			18.0	6438.6765	-6	6377.8172	1	6320.0133	-2
40.0			7227.1403	-1			20.0	6430,6602 6431 1633	-2	6363.4460	4	6299.2019	0
							22.0	6410.1841	- 4	6330.3060	o n	6253.3653	0
~							26.0	6397.7270	-3	6311,5419	0	6228.2479	2
υ.			4-4 BAND				28.0	6383.7919	- 5	6291.3184	1	6201.6863	8
							30.0	6368.3810	- 3	6269.6382	1	6173.6837	9
							32.0	6351.4959	0	6246.5039	- 2	6144.2446	8
J	R	0-C	Qr.	0-C	P	0-0	34.0	6333.1353 -	25	6221.9195	- 2	6113.3734	3
		• •					36.0	6313.3092	- 3	6195.8886	3	6081,0760	7
0.0	7325.7597	-25					36.0 38.0	6313.3092	- 3	6195.8886 6168.4131	3	6081.0760 6047.3540	7 -11
0.0	7325.7597 7330.7399	-25	7321.4922	·132	7315.3461	-10	36.0 38.0 40.0	6313.3092	- 3	6195.8886 6168.4131 6139.4994	3 0 10	6081.0760 6047.3540 6012.2178	7 -11 -1

Note. Observed minus calculated line positions are in units of  $10^{-4}$  cm<sup>-1</sup>. <sup>a</sup> Perturbed.

# C<sub>2</sub> $A^{1}\Pi_{u} - X^{1}\Sigma_{g}^{+}$ TRANSITION

TABLE I-Continued

F.			1-2 BAND				H.			0-2 BAND			
 J	Ree	0-C	Q <sub>f</sub> .	0-C	P	0-C	J	R	0-C	Q <sub>t</sub> .	0-C	P	0-C
0 0	6228.9341	- 1					30.0	4586.4703	-11	4487.7284	3	4391.7749	21
20	6234.1968	- 4	6224.6534	2	6218.2882	0	32.0	4571.9363	-11	4466.9447	-10		
4.0	6237.9924	3	6222.0888	- 4	6209.3591	0	34.0	4556.0844	11	4444.8645	- 6		
6.0	6240.3179	3	6218.0607	0	6198.9661	- 2							
8.0	6241.1732	0	6212.5680	-1	6187.1113	- 4							
10.0	6240.5577	- 5	6205.6123	0	6173.7968	- 5							
12.0	6238.4727	0	6197.1943	0	6159.0251	- 3	ι.			1-3 BAND			
14.0	6234.9166	1	6187.3154	1	6142.7982	- 4							
16.0	6229.8902	3	6175.9772	3	6125.1196	0							
18.0	6223.3936	3	6163.1809	2	6105.9914	0	J	R	0-C	Qr.	0-C	P	0-C
20.0	6215.4276	1	6148.9289	2	6085.4173	2							
22 0	6205.9932	0	6133.2235	4	6063.4002	1	0.0						
24 0	6195.0919	0	6116.0654	- 8	6039.9452	9	0.0	4438.9268	-14			1110 2001	22
26 0	6182.7250	0	6097.4613	3	6015.0558	23	2.0	4464.3055	3	4454.7614	3	4448.3994	33
28.0	6168.8930	- 9	6077.4100	- 2	5988.7322	2	4.0	4400.3048	- 3	4452.4615	-8	4439.7330	8
30.0	6153.5973	-36	6055.9171	-1	5960.9839	- 2	0.0	44/1.10/2	- 3	4448.8515	8	4429.7556	-6
32.0	6136.8471	-11	6032.9867	12	5931.8146	- 3	8.0	44/2.5320	4	4443.9263	- 3	4418.4703	1
34.0	6118.6389	5	6008.6187	0	5901.2299	5	10.0	44/2.6370	- 1	4437.6914	1	4405.8/60	- 2
36.0	6098.9732	- 9					12.0	44/1.4260	18	4430.1464	6	4391,9781	11
38.0	6077.8594	6					14.0	4468.8941	12	4421.2925	8	4376.7746	-4
			· •				16.0	4465.0450	13	4411.1312	5	4360.2740	5
							18.0	4459.8779	4	4399.6662	14	4342.4758	3
G.			2-1 BAND				20.0	4453.3962	11	4386.8975	12	4323.3853	7
							22.0	4445.5988	10	43/2.8282	6	4303.0066	20
	·						24.0	4436.4802	- 69	4357.4604	- 10	4281.3398	3
J	R	0+0	0.	0-C	P	0.0	26.0	4426.0628	-22	4340.8003	-7	4258.3929	- 5
5		0.0	~r•	0-0	***	0-0	28.0	4414.3366	33	4322.8495	0	4234.1745	32
			···				30.0	4401.2918	- 25	4303.6088	-17	4208.6761	-13
0.0	6018,7230	1					32.0	4386.9515	9	4283.0860	-18		
2.0	6023.9295	9	6014.4833	- 38			34.0	4371.3079	29	4261.2951	97		
4.0	6027.6775	- 29	6011.9486	-1	5999.3524	- 32							
6.0	6029,9788	11	6007.9589	-13	5989.0687	-27							
8.0	6030.8192	- 2	6002.5221	- 3	5977.3403	0							
10.0	6030.2042	-15	5995.6368	6	5964.1654	12	а.			2-4 BAND			
12.0	6028.1375	12	5987.3081	55	5949.5447	- 8							
14.0	6024.6117	6	5977.5247	16	5933.4862	- 8			· · · ·				
15.0			5966.2992	0	5915.9945	27	J	R.,,	0-0	Q <sub>f</sub>	0-C	P	0-C
18.0	6013.1731	-227*	5953.6331	0	5897.0705	79							
20.0	6005.2821	-250°	5939.5284	16	5876.6788	-242*	0.0	4270 0606	7				
22.0	5995.9619	- 39	5923.9843	17	5854.8947	-220*	0.0	4279.0000	- /	1074 0401	ć	44(B ( 500	27
24.0	5985.1720	-12	5907.0021	-10	5831.7054	- 21	2.0	4264.3640		4274.9421		4258.6500	37
26.0	5972,9314	3	5888,5895	-17	5807.0785	-10	4.0	4280.4090	50	42/2.07/0		4250.0790	- 52
28.0	5959.2387	- 22					0.0	4231.1404	10	4203.11/8	-2	4230.2200	- 24
30.0	5944.1048	- 3					10.0	4272.3017	- 7	4264.2000	1	4239.0832	- 2
							12.0	4292.0093	-13	4230.1212	£7	4220.049/	10
							14.0	4291.3187	- /	4250.0914	57	4212.9274	.13
							16.0	4289.0300	20	4241.9602	- 10	4197,9259	1
н.			0-2 BAND				10.0	4203.2037	227	4231.9492	- 2	4161.0420	21
							20.0	4260.1920	100	4220.0333	1	4164.0852	21
				~ ~			20.0	42/3.8339	- 198	4208.0733	1	4143.2261	-234
J	R	0-C	Qr.	0-C	P	0 - C	22.0	4200.19/1	- 5	4194.2136	12	4125,1303	-182-
							24.0	4237.2496		41/9.0//0	~10	4103.7819	-13
		_					20.0	4247.0090	-10	4162.0694	- 5	4081.1585	0
0.0	4644 0160	~					28.0	4235.4825	-/	4144.9936	12	4057.2777	-18
2.0	4044,9100	-01	1640 7100	• 4	((2) 0703		30.0	4222.0//8	62	4126.04//	-16	4032.1511	- 4
2.0	4650.3580	5	4640.7109	14	4034.2/81	1/	32.0			4105.8466	8	4005.7780	-24
4.0	4034.4377	,	4030.3047	1	4023.3100	-0							
0.0	4637.2238	-1	4634.7306	1	4615.4325	8							
10.0	4030,0000	0	4027, /490	د- ۱	4004.019/	• • •	К.			3.5 BAND			
10.0	4030,/019	U F	4023.4411	-1	4591.2850	-1				J-J DANU			
14.0	4857.5290	2	4013.80/2	0	4577.2286	-4							
16.0	4034.9010 4651 0503	.1	4000.0401	-0	4301.833/	- /							
10.0	4031.0393	-1	4378.3884	-8	4545.1629	-11	J	R	0-C	Q <sub>f</sub> .	0 - C	P**	0-0
20.0	4043,8238	-0	4364,9644	0	432/.1011	4						···	
22 0	4631 3589	2	4372.041/	-4	430/.84/6	- 2	2.0	4112.0800	- 5	4102.7372	- 51	4096.5259	111
24 0	4622 1270	4	4542 2404	-2	4465 2002	د- ۵	4.0	4116.0783	-1	4100.5193	5	4088 0628	-6
26.0	4611 5694	7	4525 3820	7	4403.3093	12	6.0	4118.8023	5	4097.0252	-1	4078,3452	20
28.0	4599 4835	a a	4507 2074	. <	4442.0702	- 30	8.0	4120.2499	-3	4092.2636	6	4067.3612	48
20.0		,	4307.2079	- ,	4417.3723	- 32			-		-		

к.		3	-5 BAND			
J	R.	0-C	Q <sub>f</sub> .	0-C	P	0-C
10.0	4120.4248	9	4086.2336	6	4055,1064	9
12.0	4119.3235	5	4078,9367	- 2	4041.5932	-1
14.0	4116.9476	- 7	4070.3791	22	4026.8178	- 54
16.0	4113.3017	7	4060.5546	-4	-	-
18.0	4108.3768	- 55	4049,4751	9	3993.5249	7
20.0	4102.1952	11	4037.1381	7	3975.0044	11
22.0	4094.7458	74	4023.5510	32*	3955.2435	26'
24.0	4086.0323	147°	4008.7254	161*	3934.2569	149
26.0	4076.1067	721*	3992.6941	682*	3912.0813	694
28.0	4064.5810	-2113	3975.0886	-2133*	3888.3297	-2265
L.			-6 BAND			
J	R	0-C	Q <sub>f</sub> .	0-C		
2.0	3949.3819	48				
4.0	-		3937.9763	- 59		
6.0	3956.1219	21	3934.5862	5		
8.0	3957.6254	-12	3929,9467	-43		
10.0	3957.8876	20	3924.0778	22		
12.0	3956.9353	436*	3917.0017	459*		
14.0	3954.4797	-1597*	3908.4288	-1587*		
16.0	3951 1233	4ª	1202 9650	- A*		

TABLE I-Continued

In the end, 12 bands were identified and analyzed: 0-0, 3-3, 4-4, 5-5, 0-1, 1-2, 2-3, 0-2, 1-3, 2-4, 3-5, and 4-6. The 3-3, 4-4, 5-5, 3-5, and 4-6 bands are new. The observation of bands in the Phillips system with v'' = 5 and 6 provides accurate constants for these high-lying vibrational levels of the ground state. The observed line positions and rotational assignments are provided in Table I.

The lines of Table I and all of the observed lines of  $B^1 \Delta_g - A^1 \Pi_u$  and  $B'{}^1 \Sigma_g^+ - A^1 \Pi_u$  were fitted simultaneously with the customary energy level expression for each vibration level in each electronic state:

$$T_{v} + B_{v}J(J+1) - D_{v}[J(J+1)]^{2} + H_{v}[J(J+1)]^{3} \\ \pm \delta_{1A}J(J+1)[q_{v} + q_{Dv}J(J+1)]/2.$$

TA	BI	E	П
		_	

Molecular Constants for the  $X^{1}\Sigma_{g}^{+}$  State of C<sub>2</sub> (in cm<sup>-1</sup>)

	Cor	istant	v=0	v=l	v=2	v=3	v=4	v=5	v=6
		т	0.0	1827.4849(2)	3626.6835(2)	5396.5892(4)	7136.3507(6)	8844.1241(11)	10517.9659(39)
		в	1.8110717(21) <sup>a</sup>	1.7928789(21)	1.7743392(23)	1.7553998(26)	1.7358967(47)	1.7157027(135)	1.693813(78)
106	x	D.	7.00315(118)	7.05398(117)	7.0944(24)	7.2066(21)	7.2941 (90)	7.499(31)	4.73(25)
1011	x	н	-	-	-1.452(133)	-	-1.78(55)	-	-

<sup>a</sup> The numbers in parentheses are one standard deviation in the last digit.

## TABLE III

Molecular Constants for the  $A^1 \Pi_{\mu}$  State of C<sub>2</sub> (in cm<sup>-1</sup>)

v=0	v=1	v=2	v=3	v=4	v=5
8268.38962(15) <sup>a</sup>	9852,4355(2)	11412.2643(4)	12947.8195(5)	14459.0343(7)	15945.8285(23)
1.6081345(20)	1.5910931(22)	1,5739723(30)	1.5567609(30)	1.5394486(41)	1.522047(25)
6.52569(114)	6.53614(145)	6.5731(28)	6.6026(24)	6.6289(38)	6.657(48)
-1.9573(34)	-1.9265(25)	-1.8813(55)	-1.8731(70)	-1.8659(89)	-1.847(75)
5.08(27)	-	-	-	-	-
	v=0 8268.38962(15) <sup>a</sup> 1.6081345(20) 6.52569(114) -1.9573(34) 5.08(27)	v=0         v=1           8268.38962(15) <sup>a</sup> 9852.4355(2)           1.6081345(20)         1.5910931(22)           6.52569(114)         6.53614(145)           -1.9573(34)         -1.9265(25)           5.08(27)         -	v=0         v=1         v=2           8268.38962(15) <sup>a</sup> 9852.4355(2)         11412.2643(4)           1.6081345(20)         1.5910931(22)         1.5739723(30)           6.52569(114)         6.53614(145)         6.5731(28)           -1.9573(34)         -1.9265(25)         -1.8813(55)           5.08(27)         -         -	v=0         v=1         v=2         v=3           8268.38962(15) <sup>a</sup> 9852.4355(2)         11412.2643(4)         12947.8195(5)           1.6081345(20)         1.5910931(22)         1.5739723(30)         1.5567609(30)           6.52569(114)         6.53614(145)         6.5731(28)         6.6026(24)           -1.9573(34)         -1.9265(25)         -1.8813(55)         -1.8731(70)           5.08(27)         -         -         -	v=0         v=1         v=2         v=3         v=4           8268.38962(15) <sup>a</sup> 9852.4355(2)         11412.2643(4)         12947.8195(5)         14459.0343(7)           1.6081345(20)         1.5910931(22)         1.5739723(30)         1.5567609(30)         1.5394486(41)           6.52569(114)         6.53614(145)         6.5731(28)         6.6026(24)         6.6289(38)           -1.9573(34)         -1.9265(25)         -1.8813(55)         -1.8731(70)         -1.8659(89)           5.08(27)         -         -         -         -

<sup>a</sup> The numbers in parentheses are one standard deviation in the last digit.

Approximate weights were chosen for each line on the basis of the signal-to-noise ratio, as well as freedom from blending or perturbations. The energy origin of this fit was v = 0, J = 0 of the  $X^1 \Sigma_g^+$  state and  $\Lambda$ -doubling was included only for the  $A^1 \Pi_u$  state. The spectroscopic constants for the  $X^1 \Sigma_g^+$  and  $A^1 \Pi_u$  states are reported in Tables II and III.

The constants of Tables II and III were converted to equilibrium molecular constants (Table IV) using the expressions

$$G(v) = \omega_{e}(v + \frac{1}{2}) - \omega_{e}x_{e}(v + \frac{1}{2})^{2} + \omega_{e}y_{e}(v + \frac{1}{2})^{3} + \omega_{e}z_{e}(v + \frac{1}{2})^{4} + \omega_{e}a_{e}(v + \frac{1}{2})^{5}$$
  

$$B_{v} = B_{e} - \alpha_{e}(v + \frac{1}{2}) + \gamma_{e}(v + \frac{1}{2})^{2} + \delta_{e}(v + \frac{1}{2})^{3}$$

State	್ಮ	ω <sub>e</sub> X <sub>e</sub>	ω <sub>e</sub> y <sub>e</sub>	w <sub>e</sub> Z <sub>e</sub>	ω <sub>e</sub> a <sub>e</sub>
X <sup>1</sup> Σ <sup>+</sup>	1855.0142(129)ª	13.5547(124)	-0.1321(50)	0.00357(89)	-0.001116(57)
Α <sup>1</sup> Π <sub>u</sub>	1608,1990(52)	12.0597(27)	-0.010555(39)	-	
	Be	a.	γ. x 10 <sup>-5</sup>	$\delta_{\bullet} \times 10^{-5}$	
$X^{1}\Sigma_{a}^{+}$	1.820099(37)	0.018012(63)	-6.33(286)	-2,06(37)	·
A <sup>1</sup> Π <sub>u</sub>	1,6166275(27)	0.0169691(51)	-3.34(25)	-0.154(33)	
	D <sub>e</sub> x 10 <sup>-6</sup>	$\beta_{\bullet} \times 10^{-8}$	T.		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
$X^{1}\Sigma_{a}^{+}$	6.9640(124)	6.41(69)	-		······
A <sup>1</sup> Π <sub>u</sub>	6.5086(54)	2.53(29)	8391.4085(46)		
	q <sub>e</sub> × 10 <sup>-4</sup>	α <sub>q</sub> x 10 <sup>6</sup>			
A¹∏ <sub>u</sub>	-1.9676(70)	2.74(37)			

#### TABLE IV

Equilibrium Molecular Constants for the  $X^{\dagger}\Sigma_{g}^{\dagger}$  and  $A^{\dagger}\Pi_{u}$  States of C<sub>2</sub> (in cm<sup>-1</sup>)

One standard deviation uncertainty in parentheses.

#### TABLE V

v	E <sub>v</sub> (cm <sup>-1</sup> )	R <sub>min</sub> (Å)	R <sub>max</sub> (Å)
0.0	923.9840	1.19052	1.30093
0.5	1841.2118	1.17072	1.32735
1.0	2751.4689	1.15615	1.34860
1.5	3654.6561	1.14428	1.36723
2.0	4550.6670	1.13413	1.38423
2.5	5439.3835	1.12519	1.40009
3.0	6320.6724	1,11717	1.41513
3.5	7194.3803	1,10988	1.42955
4.0	8060.3301	1,10317	1.44348
4.5	8918.3167	1.09696	1.45704
5.0	9768.1023	1,09117	1.47030
5.5	10609.4130	1,08575	1.48334
6.0	11441.9340	1,08064	1.49621

RKR Turning Points for the  $X^{1}\Sigma^{+}$  State of C<sub>2</sub>

$$D_{\rm v} = D_{\rm e} + \beta_{\rm e} (v + \frac{1}{2})$$

 $q_{\rm v}=q_{\rm e}+\alpha_{\rm q}(v+\frac{1}{2}).$ 

The large number of expansion constants required for the  $B_v$  and G(v) expansions (Table IV) is probably a reflection of the global perturbations and interactions among the low-lying states of  $C_2$ .

The  $B_v$  and G(v) expansions were input to an RKR program to calculate the classical turning points (Tables V and VI) of the  $X^1 \Sigma_g^+$  and  $A^1 \Pi_u$  potential curves. Figure 1 is a plot of the potential energy curves of the low-lying  $X^1 \Sigma_g^+$ ,  $A^1 \Pi_u$ ,  $B^1 \Delta_g$ , and

	RKR Turning Po	bints of the $A^{\dagger}\Pi_{u}$ Sta	ate of C <sub>2</sub>
v	E <sub>v</sub> (cm <sup>-1</sup> )	R <sub>min</sub> (Å)	R <sub>max</sub> (Å)
0.0	801.1033*	1.26266	1.38925
0.5	1596.1488	1.24151	1,40975
1.0	2385.1486	1.22597	1.43269
1.5	3168.0948	1.21333	1.45280
2.0	3944.9795	1.20253	1.47112
2.5	4715.7947	1.19302	1.48822
3.0	5480.5326	1.18449	1.50439
3.5	6239.1853	1.17674	1,51986
4.0	6991.7448	1.16961	1.53476
4.5	7738.2031	1.16301	1.54921
5.0	8478.5525	1.15685	1.56329

TABLE VI

<sup>a</sup> Relative to the bottom of the A<sup>1</sup>I<sub>u</sub> well. To convert the origin of the E<sub>v</sub> scale to the bottom of the X<sup>1</sup>\Sigma<sup>+</sup><sub>8</sub> well, 8391.2703 cm<sup>-1</sup> must be added. This number was calculated using the experimental value of 8268.3896 cm<sup>-1</sup> for T<sub>oo</sub>. Note that these potential curves include the Dunham Y<sub>oo</sub> correction.



FIG. 1. The RKR potential curves of the low-lying singlet states of C<sub>2</sub>.

 $B'{}^{1}\Sigma_{g}^{+}$  states of C<sub>2</sub> as determined from our RKR turning points. The  $A^{1}\Pi_{u}$  and  $X'{}^{2}\Sigma_{g}^{+}$  potential energy curves were used to calculate the A-X Franck-Condon factors (Table VII). We found all of the bands expected on the basis of intensity estimates from the Franck-Condon factors of Table VII.

The  $B_e$  values of Table IV result in  $r_e$  values of 1.242440 and 1.318311 Å for the  $X^1 \Sigma_g^+$  and  $A^1 \Pi_u$  states, respectively.

The spectroscopic constants of Tables II and III are the most useful result of our reanalysis of the Phillips system. For example, the 2–0 band near 8760 Å is observed in absorption in interstellar clouds (5-11). Although we have not directly observed the 2–0 band, the line positions of this band, calculated with the constants of Tables II and III, should be more accurate than the previous measurements. In general, our spectroscopic constants and line positions are in agreement with, but more accurate than, the previous measurements.

The line positions of Table I display three perturbations (Table VIII). In the 2-4 and 2-3 bands, the *e* parity level of v' = 2 of  $A^{\dagger} \Pi_u$  with J' = 19, 21 is perturbed by the corresponding J's (F<sub>2</sub>, N = 19, 21) *e* parity level of v = 1 of the  $c^3 \Sigma_u^+$  state. This perturbation was predicted by Chauville *et al.* (23), and also observed by Davis *et al.* (24). This perturbation, along with others previously observed, allowed Davis *et al.* (24) to calculate improved spectroscopic constants for the  $c^3 \Sigma_u^+$  state.

In the 3-5 band, the J'' = 22, 24, 26, and 28 *e* parity levels of v'' = 5 of the  $X^{\perp}\Sigma_g^+$  state are perturbed by v = 2 of the  $b^3 \Sigma_g^-$  state. In the 4-6 band the J'' = 12, 14 *e* levels

0.412E0						
	0.398E0	0.155E0	0.311E-1	0.338E-2	0.184E-3	0.343E-5
0.332E0	0.553E-2	0.289£0	0.272E0	0.883E-1	0.131E-1	0.859E-3
0.162E0	0.172E0	0.578E-1	0.116E0	0.305E0	0.155E0	0.301E-1
0.630E-1	0.200E0	0.318E-1	0.142E0	0.184E-1	0.271E0	0.216E0
0.215E-1	0.126ED	0.138E0	0.116E-2	0.155ED	0.162E-2	0.204E0
0.684E-2	0.601E-1	0.145E0	0.578E-1	0.358E-1	0.115E0	0.302E-1
	0.332E0 0.332E0 0.62C0 0.630E-1 0.215E-1 0.684E-2	0.332E0 0.398E0 0.332E0 0.553E-2 0.162E0 0.172E0 0.630E-1 0.200E0 0.215E-1 0.126E0 0.684E-2 0.601E-1	0.332E0 0.392E0 0.195E0 0.332E0 0.553E-2 0.289E0 0.162E0 0.172E0 0.578E-1 0.630E-T 0.200E0 0.318E-1 0.215E-1 0.126E0 0.138E0 0.684E-2 0.601E-1 0.145E0	0.332E0 0.553E-2 0.289E0 0.37E-1 0.332E0 0.553E-2 0.289E0 0.272E0 0.162E0 0.172E0 0.576E-1 0.116E0 0.630E-1 0.200E0 0.318E-1 0.142E0 0.215E-1 0.126E0 0.138E0 0.116E-2 0.684E-2 0.601E-1 0.145E0 0.578E-1	0.332E0         0.3552-2         0.289E0         0.378E-1         0.338E-2           0.332E0         0.553E-2         0.289E0         0.272E0         0.883E-1           0.162C0         0.172E0         0.576E-1         0.116E0         0.305E0           0.630E-1         0.200E0         0.318E-1         0.142E0         0.184E-1           0.215E-1         0.126E0         0.138E0         0.116E-2         0.155E0           0.684E-2         0.601E-1         0.145ED         0.578E-1         0.358E-1	0.34220         0.39820         0.15520         0.31E-1         0.3382-2         0.184E-3           0.33220         0.553E-2         0.28950         0.27260         0.883E-1         0.131E-1           0.162C0         0.172E0         0.576E-1         0.116E0         0.30560         0.155E0           0.630E-1         0.200E0         0.318E-1         0.142E0         0.184E-1         0.271E0           0.215E-1         0.126E0         0.138E0         0.116E-2         0.155E0         0.162E-2           0.684E-2         0.601E-1         0.145E0         0.578E-1         0.358E-1         0.115E0

TABLE VII

 $A^{1}\Pi_{\mu} - X^{1}\Sigma_{g}^{+}$  Franck–Condon Factors

of v'' = 6 of the  $X^1 \Sigma_g^+$  state are perturbed by v = 3 of the  $b^3 \Sigma_g^-$  state. The corresponding perturbations were observed by Roux *et al.* (55) in the  $b^3 \Sigma_g^- -a^3 \Pi_u$  Ballik-Ramsay system. The shifts in v = 5, J = 26 and 28 for the  $X^1 \Sigma_g^+$  state predicted by Roux *et al.* (55) are 0.101 and -0.171 cm<sup>-1</sup> compared to our values (Table VIII) of 0.070 and -0.217 cm<sup>-1</sup>, respectively. For v = 6 of the  $X^1 \Sigma_g^+$  state, the predictions of Roux *et al.* are +0.074 and -0.127, while our values are 0.045 and -0.159 cm<sup>-1</sup> for J = 12 and 14, respectively. The agreement is reasonable, but not perfect, between the two sets of measurements. The discrepancies are presumably caused by systematic, model-dependent errors in the prediction of the unperturbed line positions. The observation of level shifts equal in magnitude (but opposite in sign) by us and by Roux *et al.* (55) confirms the two sets of assignments.

Perturbed State	Perturbing State	Band	Line	ObsCalc	Line	ObsCalc.	Line	ObsCalc.
		3 - 5	R(22)	0.0074	Q(22)	0.0032	P(22)	0.0026
v≂5	v=2		R(24)	0.0147	Q(24)	0.0161	P(24)	0.0149
X <sup>1</sup> Σ <sup>+</sup> α	b <sup>3</sup> Σ <sub>a</sub>		R(26)	0.0721	Q(26)	0.0682	P(26)	0.0694
5	3		R(28)	-0.2113	Q(28)	-0.2133	P(28)	-0.2265
v=6	v=3	4 - 6	R(12)	0.0436	Q(12)	0.0459		_
x¹Σ <sup>+</sup> g	b³Σ_g		R(14)	-0.1597	Q(14)	-0.1587		-
		2 - 4	R(18)	-0.0227		-	P(20)	-0.0234
v=2	v=1		R(20)	-0.0198		-	P(22)	-0.0182
А¹л	c³Σu	2 - 3	R(18)	-0.0227		-	P(20)	-0.0242
			R(20)	-0.0250		-	P(22)	-0.0220

TABLE VIII

## Observed Perturbations in the $A^{1}\Pi_{u}-X^{1}\Sigma_{g}^{+}$ System of C<sub>2</sub> (in cm<sup>-1</sup>)

## $C_2 A^1 \Pi_{\mu} - X^1 \Sigma_g^+$ TRANSITION

#### CONCLUSION

We have reanalyzed the  $A^1 \Pi_u - X^1 \Sigma_g^+$  Phillips system of  $C_2$  and have observed several new vibrational bands. The v'' = 5 and 6 levels of the  $X^1 \Sigma_g^+$  state were observed for the first time. Since  $C_2$  is such a ubiquitous molecule, our improved spectroscopic constants should prove useful.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The National Solar Observatory is operated by the Association of Universities for Research in Astronomy, Inc., under contract with the National Science Foundation. We thank J. Wagner, R. Ram, and G. Ladd for assistance in acquiring our  $C_2$  spectrum. Acknowledgment is made to the donors of the Petroleum Research Fund, administered by the American Chemical Society, for partial support of this work. Some support was also provided by the Air Force Astronautics Laboratory Grant No. F04611-87-K-0020.

## **RECEIVED: May 16, 1988**

## REFERENCES

- *I.* P. MAYER AND C. R. O'DELL, Astrophys. J. 153, 951–962 (1968).
- 2. J. R. JOHNSON, U. FINK, AND H. P. LARSON, Astrophys. J. 270, 769-777 (1983).
- 3. D. L. LAMBERT AND A. C. DANKS, Astrophys. J. 268, 428-446 (1983).
- 4. S. P. SOUZA AND B. L. LUTZ, Astrophys. J. 216, L49-L51 (1977).
- 5. F. H. CHAFFEE, JR., AND B. L. LUTZ, Astrophys. J. 221, L91-L93 (1978).
- 6. L. M. HOBBS, Astrophys. J. 232, L175-L177 (1979).
- 7. L. M. HOBBS AND B. CAMBELL, Astrophys. J. 254, 108-110 (1982).
- F. H. CHAFFEE, JR., B. L. LUTZ, J. H. BLACK, P. A. VANDEN BOUT, AND R. L. SNELL, Astrophys. J. 236, 474–480 (1980).
- 9. L. M. HOBBS, Astrophys. J. 243, 485-488 (1981).
- 10. E. F. VAN DISHOECK AND T. DE ZEEUW, Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc. 206, 383-406 (1984).
- 11. L. M. HOBBS, J. H. BLACK, AND E. F. VAN DISHOECK, Astrophys J. 271, L95-L99 (1983).
- 12. N. GREVESSE AND A. J. SAUVAL, Astron. Astrophys. 27, 29-43 (1973).
- J. W. BRAULT, L. DELBOUILLE, N. GREVESSE, G. ROLAND, A. J. SAUVAL, AND L. TESTERMAN, Astron. Astrophys. 108, 201–205 (1982).
- 14. D. L. LAMBERT, Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc. 182, 249-272 (1978).
- 15. F. QUERCI, M. QUERCI, AND V. G. KUNDE, Astron. Astrophys. 15, 256-274 (1971).
- J. H. GOEBEL, J. D. BREGMAN, D. M. COOPER, D. GOORVITCH, S. R. LANGHOFF, AND F. C. WITTEBORN, Astrophys. J. 270, 190–199 (1983).
- 17. R. BLEEKRODE AND W. C. NIEUWPOORT, J. Chem. Phys. 43, 3680-3687 (1965).
- 18. A. G. GAYDON AND H. G. WOLFHARD, "Flames," Chapman & Hall, London, 1978.
- 19. J. A. BARNARD AND J. N. BRADLEY, "Flame and Combusion," 2nd ed., Chapman & Hall, London, 1985.
- 20. J. G. PHILLIPS, Astrophys. J. 107, 389-399 (1948).
- 21. E. A. BALLIK AND D. A. RAMSAY, Astrophys. J. 137, 84-101 (1963).
- 22. I. R. MARENIN AND H. R. JOHNSON, J. Quant. Spectrosc. Radiat. Transfer 10, 305-309 (1970).
- 23. J. CHAUVILLE, J. P. MAILLARD, AND A. W. MANTZ, J. Mol. Spectrosc. 68, 309-411 (1977).
- 24. S. P. DAVIS, M. C. ABRAMS, J. G. PHILLIPS, AND M. L. P. RAO, J. Opt. Soc. Amer. B, in press.
- 25. C. AMIOT AND J. VERGES, Astron. Astrophys. Suppl. 51, 257-265 (1983).
- 26. F. ROUX, D. CERNY, AND J. D'INCAN, Astrophys. J. 204, 940 (1976).
- 27. P. ERMAN, D. L. LAMBERT, M. LARSSON, AND B. MANNFORS, Astrophys. J. 253, 983-988 (1982).
- S. P. DAVIS, W. H. SMITH, J. W. BRAULT, R. PECYNER, AND J. WAGNER, Astrophys. J. 287, 455–460 (1984).
- 29. W. BAUER, K. H. BECKER, C. HUBRICH, R. MEUSER, AND J. WILDT, Astrophys. J. 296, 758-764 (1985).
- 30. E. F. VAN DISHOECK, Chem. Phys. 77, 277-286 (1983).

- 31. C. F. CHABALOWSKI, S. D. PEYERIMHOFF, AND R. J. BUENKER, Chem. Phys. 81, 57-72 (1983).
- 32. G. THEODORAKOPOULOS, I. D. PETSALAKIS, C. A. NICOLAIDES, AND R. J. BUENKER, Chem. Phys. 112, 319-324 (1987).
- 33. S. V. O'NEIL, P. ROSMUS, AND H. J. WERNER, J. Chem. Phys. 87, 2847-2853 (1987).
- 34. E. A. BALLIK AND D. A. RAMSAY, Astrophys. J. 137, 61-83 (1963).
- 35. S. R. LANGHOFF, M. L. SINK, R. H. PRITCHARD, C. W. KERN, S. J. STRICKLER, AND M. J. BOYD, J. Chem. Phys. 67, 1051-1060 (1977).
- 36. J. LE BOURLOT AND E. ROUEFF, J. Mol. Spectrosc. 120, 157–168 (1986).
- 37. E. F. VAN DISHOECK AND J. H. BLACK, Astrophys. J. 258, 533-547 (1982).
- 38. J. BARSUHN, Z. Naturforsch., A 27, 1031-1041 (1972).
- 39. K. KIRBY AND B. LIU, J. Chem. Phys. 70, 893-900 (1979).
- 40. B. POUILLY, J. M. ROBBE, J. SCHAMPS, AND E. ROUEFF, J. Phys. B 16, 437-448 (1983).
- 41. J. A. NICHOLS AND J. SIMONS, J. Chem. Phys. 86, 6972-6981 (1987).
- 42. C. W. BAUSCHLICHER, JR., AND S. R. LANGHOFF, J. Chem. Phys. 87, 2919-2924 (1987).
- 43. C. M. ROHLFING AND R. L. MARTIN, J. Phys. Chem. 90, 2043-2046 (1986).
- 44. C. T. LIN, PH. AVOURIS, AND Y. J. THEFAINE, J. Phys. Chem. 86, 2271-2273 (1982).
- 45. W. M. PITTS, L. PASTERNACK, AND J. R. MCDONALD, Chem. Phys. 68, 417-422 (1982).
- 46. L. PASTERNACK, W. M. PITTS, AND J. R. MCDONALD, Chem. Phys. 57, 19-28 (1981).
- 47. W. L. FAUST, L. S. GOLDBERG, B. B. CRAIG, AND R. G. WEISS, Chem. Phys. Lett. 83, 265-269 (1981).
- 48. V. M. DONNELLY AND L. PASTERNACK, Chem. Phys. 39, 427-432 (1979).
- 49. W. HACK AND W. LANGEL, Chem. Phys. Lett. 81, 387-390 (1981).
- 50. M. H. YU, M. R. LEVY, AND C. WITTIG, J. Chem. Phys. 72, 3789-3795 (1980).
- H. REISLER, M. MANGIR, AND C. WITTIG, J. Chem. Phys. 71, 2109-2117 (1979); 73, 829-835 (1980);
   73, 2280-2286 (1980); Chem. Phys. 47, 49-58 (1980).
- 52. D. H. WINICUR AND J. L. HARDWICK, Chem. Phys. 94, 157-166 (1985).
- 53. M. DOUAY, R. NIETMANN, AND P. F. BERNATH, J. Mol. Spectrosc. 131, 261-271 (1988).
- 54. L. R. BROWN AND R. A. TOTH, J. Opt. Soc. Amer. B 2, 842-856 (1985).
- 55. F. ROUX, G. WANNOUS, F. MICHAUD, AND J. VERGES, J. Mol. Spectrosc. 109, 334-344 (1985).